arXiv:1410.6887v2 [math.AP] 25 Mar 2016

# On the asymptotic stability of $N$–soliton solutions of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Scipio Cuccagna[1] and Robert Jenkins[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, 34127 Italy,
scuccagna@units.it
[2]Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona , Tucson 85721 USA ,
rjenkins@math.arizona.edu

March 28, 2016

**Abstract**

We consider the Cauchy problem for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation for finite density type initial data. Using the $\overline{\partial}$ generalization of the nonlinear steepest descent method of Deift and Zhou we derive the leading order approximation to the solution of NLS for large times in the solitonic region of space–time, $|x| < 2t$, and we provide bounds for the error which decay as $t \to \infty$ for a general class of initial data whose difference from the non vanishing background possesses a fixed number of finite moments and derivatives. Using properties of the scattering map of NLS we derive, as a corollary, an asymptotic stability result for initial data which are sufficiently close to the $N$-dark soliton solutions of NLS.

## 1 Introduction and statement of main results

We consider the Cauchy problem for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation on the real line with finite density initial data:

$$\mathrm{i}q_t + q_{xx} - 2(|q|^2 - 1)q = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$q(x,0) = q_0(x), \quad \lim_{x\to\pm\infty} q_0(x) = \pm 1. \tag{1.2}$$

*Remark.* The usual form of the NLS equation is $\mathrm{i}u_t + u_{xx} + 2\sigma u|u|^2 = 0$ where $\sigma = 1$ is called the *focusing* and $\sigma = -1$ the *defocusing* NLS equation. The change of variables $q(x,t) = u(x,t)e^{2\mathrm{i}t}$ reduces the defocusing NLS equation to (1.1). This form has the advantage that solutions of (1.1) which satisfy (1.2) are asymptotically time independent as $x \to \infty$.

*Remark.* Some authors have referred to (1.1) as the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation [2, 3, 4, 5, 25, 27, 28]. The general one dimension GP equation, which appears in the modeling of Bose-Einstein condensates on a nonzero background, is $\mathrm{i}\psi_t + \psi_{xx} - 2(|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi + V(x)\psi = 0$ where $\psi$ is the wave function of a single particle and $V$ is an external potential. Equation (1.1) is the integrable case of the 1D GP equation in which the particle is free, *i.e.*, $V \equiv 0$.

It is an elementary fact that solutions of the linear Schrödinger equation $iq_t + q_{xx} = 0$ disperse, *i.e.*, $q(x,t) = \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1/2}\right)$ as $t \to \infty$. Once nonlinear effects are included, *soliton* solutions appear. These special solutions do not disperse. Instead, the nonlinear effects balance the dispersive, to create solutions which persist for all time. For initial data $q_0(x)$ which vanish sufficiently quickly as $|x| \to \infty$ only the focusing NLS equation supports soliton solutions. For the finite density type of data considered in (1.1)-(1.2) the defocusing equation also possesses soliton solutions. Let $\partial D(0,1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. For $z_0 \in \partial D(0,1) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ define

$$\mathrm{sol}(x,t;z_0) := -\mathrm{i}z_0\left(\mathrm{i}z_{0R} + z_{0I}\tanh\left(z_{0I}(x - 2z_{0R}t)\right)\right) \text{ where } z_{0R} = \mathrm{Re}\, z_0 \text{ and } z_{0I} = \mathrm{Im}\, z_0. \quad (1.3)$$

Then $q(x,t) = \mathrm{sol}(x - x_0, t; z_0)$ is a traveling wave solution of (1.1) satisfying $\lim_{x\to\infty} q(x,t) = 1$ and $\lim_{x\to-\infty} q(x,t) = z_0^2$. We call these solutions 1-solitons, or simply solitons. More generally, given a collection of distinct points $\{z_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1} \in \partial D(0,1) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ one can construct more elaborate exact soliton solutions $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$, called $N$-*solitons* which, instead of dispersing, resemble the sum of $N$ individual 1-solitons at sufficiently large times. Such solutions are constructed in Appendix A.

The soliton resolution conjecture is the vaguely stated, but widely believed statement that the evolution of generic initial data for many globally well posed nonlinear dispersive equations will in the long time limit resolve into a finite train of solitons plus a dispersing radiative component. For most dispersive evolution equations this is a wide open and active area of research [40, 11, 34, 35]. The situation is somewhat better understood in the integrable setting where the inverse scattering transform (IST) gives one much stronger control on the behavior of solutions than purely analytic techniques [20, 29, 41, 14, 16, 12]. Even among the integrable evolutions, most results concern initial data with sufficient decay at spatial infinity, but there have been some recent studies concerning non vanishing initial data [23, 7, 30, 42, 43].

As we review below, problem (1.1)–(1.2) is integrable— as discovered by Zakharov–Shabat— and its solution can be characterized in terms of an IST [45]. Briefly, the Lax-pair representation of (1.1) (c.f. (3.1)) encodes the solution of NLS as a time evolving potential in a certain spectral problem, (3.5), on the line. In analogy to the standard Sturm–Liouville theory for Schrödinger operators on the line, see for example [20], the *scattering map* associates to $q_0$ a discrete spectrum, formed by a finite number of *poles* $\{z_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1} \subset \partial D(0,1) \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ and for each pole an associated *coupling constant* $c_j \in \mathrm{i}z_j\mathbb{R}_+$. In addition to the discrete data, the scattering map associates to $q_0$ the so called *reflection coefficient*, $r$, defined along the continuous spectrum of the scattering operator, *i.e.* , $r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, which is a sort of Fourier transform of $q_0$ satisfying $|r(z)| < 1$ for any $z \neq \pm 1$ with $r(0) = 0$. The collection $\left\{r(z), \{z_j, c_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\right\}$ is called the *scattering data* associated with $q_0$. In terms of scattering data, soliton solutions correspond to *reflectionless* potentials $q_0$ for which the scattering map gives $r(z) \equiv 0$; the scattering data of a $1-$soliton is $\{0, \{z_0, c_0\}\}$ and for an $N-$soliton $\{0, \{z_k, c_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}\}$.

The essential fact is that the evolution of the scattering data is trivial, and an *inverse scattering map* can be constructed in terms of a Riemann-Hilbert problem where the spatio-temporal dependence appears only parametrically. This characterization of the inverse map is ideally suited to rigorous asymptotic analysis via the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method and has been key to deriving detailed asymptotic expansions of NLS and other integrable evolutions in various asymptotic regimes [8, 10, 18, 17, 31, 41].

The long time asymptotic behavior of the defocusing NLS equation with finite density data has been studied previously. In a series of papers [42, 43, 44] Vartanian computed both the leading and first correction terms in the asymptotic expansion of the solution $q(x,t)$ and 'partial masses' $\int_{\pm\infty}^{x}(1 - |q(x,t)|^2)dx$ of (1.1)-(1.2) as $x, t \to \pm\infty$ with $|\xi| = |x/2t| > 1$ (outside the soliton 'light cone') and $|\xi| < 1$ (inside the soliton 'light cone'). In particular, in [43], it is shown that when the initial data generates discrete data $\{z_k, c_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ (in our

notation), then in a frame of reference moving at one of the soliton speeds, *i.e.* $x+2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j)t = \mathcal{O}(1)$ for any $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$ , the solution $q(x,t)$ of (1.1)-(1.2) is asymptotically described to leading order by a 1-soliton. Our first result below, Theorem 1.1, describes the leading order asymptotic behavior of the solution $q(x,t)$ uniformly in any closed sector within the 'light cone', that is with $|\xi| \leq \xi_0 < 1$. Our formulation (1.6) is consistent with Vartanian's description, but is formulated such that we give a more holistic description of the solution as an $N$-soliton with a fixed set of poles whose coupling constants slowly modulate due to the interaction of the soliton components with the reflection coefficient. Expressing this $N$-soliton solution in separated form for $t \gg 1$ , (1.8) reduces to Vartanian's leading order asymptotics in the frames of reference $x + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j)t = \mathcal{O}(1)$ defined by the individual solitons. From a technical perspective our $\overline{\partial}$ methods greatly simplify the analytical arguments needed to prove results. Moreover, our results hold for a much larger class of initial data than was considered in [42, 43, 44] (c.f. Remark 1.5). Finally, we believe that our methods should be more easily adapted to considering the so called collisionless shock region $|x/2t| \approx 1$ where $|r(z)| \to 1$ which we plan to consider in the near future.

## 1.1 Results

Our first result is a verification of the soliton resolution conjecture for (1.1) for initial data of finite density type (1.2) which possess a certain number of derivatives and moments. To state the theorem precisely we introduce the Japanese bracket $\langle x\rangle := \sqrt{1+|x|^2}$ and the normed spaces:

$L^{p,s}(\mathbb{R})$ defined with $\|q\|_{L^{p,s}(\mathbb{R})} := \|\langle x\rangle^s q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$;

$W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R})$ defined with $\|q\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R})} := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|\partial^j q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$, where $\partial^j u$ is the $j^{th}$ weak derivative of $u$;

$H^k(\mathbb{R})$ defined with $\|q\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} := \|\langle x\rangle^k \widehat{q}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, where $\widehat{u}$ is the Fourier transform of $u$;

$\Sigma_k := L^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^k(\mathbb{R})$.

We also set $\mathbb{C}^{\pm} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \mathrm{Im}\, z > 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider initial data $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_4$ with associated scattering data $\{r(z), \{z_j, c_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\}$. Order the $z_j$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Re}\, z_0 > \mathrm{Re}\, z_1 > \cdots > \mathrm{Re}\, z_{N-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

*let $\xi = x/2t$, and define*

$$\alpha(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{s} ds + 2\sum_{k:\,\mathrm{Re}\, z_k > \xi} \arg z_k. \tag{1.5}$$

*Fix $\xi_0 \in (0,1)$, then there exist $t_0 = t_0(q_0,\xi_0)$ and $C = C(q_0,\xi_0)$ such that the solution $q(x,t)$ of* (1.1)-(1.2) *satisfies*

$$\left| q(x,t) - e^{i\alpha(\xi)} q^{(sol),N}(x,t) \right| \leq Ct^{-1} \text{ for all } t > t_0 \text{ and } |\xi| \leq \xi_0. \tag{1.6}$$

*Here $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$ is the $N$-soliton with associated scattering data $\{\widetilde{r} \equiv 0, \{z_j, \widetilde{c}_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\}$ where*

$$\widetilde{c}_j = c_j \exp\left( -\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\pi} \int_0^\infty \log(1-|r(s)|^2) \left( \frac{1}{s-z_j} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) ds \right). \tag{1.7}$$

*Moreover, for $t > t_0$ and $|\xi| < \xi_0$, the N-soliton solution separates in the sense that*

$$q(x,t) = e^{i\alpha(1)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( \prod_{j<k} z_j^2 \right) [\mathrm{sol}(x - x_k, t; z_k) - 1] \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right), \tag{1.8}$$

*where* $\mathrm{sol}(x,t;z)$ *is the one soliton defined by* (1.3) *and*

$$x_k = \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z_k)} \left( \log \left( \frac{|c_k|}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z_k)} \prod_{\substack{\ell:\,\mathrm{Re}(z_\ell)>\xi \\ \ell \neq k}} \left| \frac{z_k - z_\ell}{z_k z_\ell - 1} \right|^2 \right) - \frac{\mathrm{Im}(z_k)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1 - |r(s)|^2)}{|s - z_k|^2} ds \right). \tag{1.9}$$

*Remark* 1.2. The smoothness and decay properties of the reflection coefficient needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1, which follow from our hypotheses on $q_0$, are proved in Section 4. Specifically, for $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_m$ with $m = 2$ we will prove $r \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\log(1 - |r|^2)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ for $p \geq 1$. $m = 3$ implies $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ which in turn allows us to show that $r(z) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$; additionally for $m = 3$ we show (Lemma 4.8) that the scattering map has a finite discrete spectrum. This improves [13] where finiteness of the spectrum was proved for $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,4}(\mathbb{R})$. The condition that $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_m$ with $m = 4$ is needed only to bound the $\overline{\partial}$ derivatives of our extensions of the reflection coefficient in Lemma 6.5; specifically it allows us to use (4.19) with $n = 2$.

*Remark* 1.3. The restriction $|x| < 2t$ in Theorem 1.1 is used only to limit the length of this paper. This is the critical regime for studying the soliton resolution of the solution as the soliton speeds $v_j$ in the scaling of (1.1)-(1.2) are bounded by $|v_j| < 2$. The steepest descent method of Deift and Zhou used in this paper can also be used to study the behavior of $q(x,t)$ as $t \to \infty$ in the rest of space–time.

*Remark* 1.4. The two terms in (1.9) for the asymptotic phase shifts $x_j$ have clear interpretations. The first term gives the phase shift due to interactions between the solitons. The second term is a retarding factor due to the interaction of the soliton component with the radiative component of the solution.

*Remark* 1.5. Long time asymptotic results for (1.1)-(1.2) were previously obtained by Vartanian in [42, 43, 44] under the assumption that $q_0(x) - \tanh(x)$ is Schwartz class, and that the reflection coefficient $r(z)$ satisfies $|r(\pm 1)| < 1$. This is a non-generic situation in that for most data $|r(\pm 1)| = 1$, as we review below. The hypothesis $\|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < 1$ is used crucially in [42, 43, 44] in the context of some standard factorizations in the steepest descent method, see for example formula (0.23) in [17], which we write in (6.1)–(6.3) and which display factors $(1 - |r(z)|^2)^{-1}$ that would be singular at $\pm 1$ if $|r(\pm 1)| = 1$. Our methods remove the non-generic condition $\|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} < 1$ and can handle a wider class of initial data while also requiring less technical estimates along the way.

Observe that if we take $z_0 = \mathrm{i}$ in (1.3) then we have the stationary solution of (1.1)-(1.2)

$$\mathrm{sol}(x,t;\mathrm{i}) = \tanh(x)$$

which is called the *black soliton* in analogy with the nonlinear optics application where $|q|^2$ represents the intensity of the light wave. When $z_0 \neq \mathrm{i}$ the solution is a non-stationary dark soliton, becoming increasingly 'whiter' as $z_0 \to \pm 1$. There is a substantial body of work treating the *orbital* stability of the black soliton, see [27, 22, 5, 3, 28] and therein. The *asymptotic* stability of a dark soliton, the case $z_0 \neq \mathrm{i}$, is discussed in [2], while the case of the black soliton $z_0 = \mathrm{i}$ is discussed in [28]. Orbital stability of multi–solitons is considered in [3].

A corollary of Theorem 1.1 is the following asymptotic stability type result for the multi–solitons.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Consider an $M$–soliton $q^{(sol),M}(x,t)$ satisfying both boundary conditions in* (1.2) *and let $\{0,\{z_j,c_j\}_{j=0}^{M-1}\}$ denote its reflectionless scattering data. There exist $\varepsilon_0>0$ and $C>0$ such that for any initial datum $q_0$ of problem* (1.1)–(1.2) *with*

$$\epsilon := \|q_0 - q^{(sol),M}(x,0)\|_{\Sigma_4} < \varepsilon_0 \tag{1.10}$$

*the initial data $q_0$ generates scattering data $\{r',\{z_j',c_j'\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\}$ for some finite $N\geq M$ (for both sets of discrete data we use the convention that $j<k$ implies $\operatorname{Re} z_j > \operatorname{Re} z_k$ and $\operatorname{Re} z_j' > \operatorname{Re} z_k'$). Of the discrete data of $q_0$, exactly $M$ poles are close to discrete data of $q^{(sol),M}$. Any additional poles (as $N\geq M$) are close to either $-1$ or $1$. Specifically, there exists an $L\in\{0,...,N-1\}$ satisfying $L+M\leq N-1$ for which we have*

$$\max_{0\leq j\leq M-1}(|z_j - z_{j+L}'| + |c_j - c_{j+L}'|) + \max_{j>M+L}|1+z_j'| + \max_{j<L}|1-z_j'| < C\epsilon. \tag{1.11}$$

*Furthermore, $q_0$ has reflection coefficient $r'\in H^1(\mathbb{R})\cap W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}\backslash(-\delta_0,\delta_0))$ for any $\delta_0>0$.*

*Set $\xi := x/2t$ and fix $\xi_0\in(0,1)$ such that $\{\operatorname{Re} z_j\}_{j=0}^{M-1}\subset(-\xi_0,\xi_0)$. Then there exist $t_0(q_0,\xi_0)>0$, $C=C(q_0,\xi_0)>0$ and $\{x_{k+L}\}_{k=0}^{M-1}\subset\mathbb{R}$ such that for $t>t_0(q_0,\xi_0)$, $|\xi|\leq\xi_0$ and $\alpha(\xi)$ as defined by* (1.5)*, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left| q(x,t) - e^{i\alpha(1)}\Big(\prod_{j\leq L} z_j'^2\Big)\left[1+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\Big(\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} z_{j+L}'^2\Big)(\operatorname{sol}(x-x_{k+L},t;z_{k+L}')-1)\right]\right| \leq Ct^{-1}. \tag{1.12}$$

*Remark* 1.7. Notice that in the region $|\xi|\leq\xi_0$ the extra solitons for $j<L$ and for $j\geq L+M$ approach constant values exponentially fast in time and their contribution inside the l.h.s. of (1.12) would be exponentially small in $t$. Equation (1.12) is written considering only discrete data close to those of $q^{(sol),M}$ in order to emphasize that the latter is asymptotically stable, up to some "phase shifts" and small changes of the velocities of the solitons, in the region $|\xi|\leq\xi_0$.
*Remark* 1.8. Theorem 1.6 yields when $M=1$ and $q^{(sol),1}(x,t)=\tanh(x)$ an asymptotic stability result for the *black soliton*. Minor modifications in our arguments yield asymptotic stability results also for *dark solitons* and for $N$–solitons with boundary conditions different from (1.2).

Our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.6 take advantage of the integrability of (1.1)-(1.2). Integrability allows one access to the inverse scattering transform (IST) machinery. This was the approach of Gérard–Zhang [27] in their discussion of orbital stability of solutions near the black soliton. We recall that the IST provides a representation of a solution $q(x,t)$ of an integrable equation in terms of its scattering data reminiscent of the Fourier representation formula $q(x,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}tk^2+\mathrm{i}xk}\widehat{q}_0(k)dk$ for the linear Schrödinger equation. One can then envisage that solutions $q(x,t)$ of an integrable equation might be estimated by nonlinear analogues of the stationary phase method or other classical tools used in asymptotic analysis. The steepest descent method of Deift–Zhou does exactly this.

We would like to highlight some of the technical aspects of the manuscript. Our proof uses the $\overline{\partial}$ method for contour deformation introduced in McLaughlin–Miller [37, 38] and Dieng–McLaughlin [21], which allows us to consider initial data with only a small amount of regularity while simultaneously simplifying many of the necessary estimates of more standard steepest descent. The new ingredient in our problem compared to those in the above mentioned $\overline{\partial}$ papers is the presence of solitons. Our procedure for accounting for the soliton contribution to the IST was in part inspired by [14] and [29]. The main technical problem we face, mentioned in Remark 1.5, is the fact that $|r(\pm1)|=1$ generically. Indeed, we show in Appendix C that this

happens generically even when $q_0 - \tanh(x)$ is compactly supported and small. We solve this problem by an appropriate adaptation of the $\overline{\partial}$ method, at the cost of some loss of regularity with respect to the standard $\overline{\partial}$ method of [21]. This is described in detail in Lemma 6.5.

Unfortunately, currently the IST is not well suited to explore cases when the metric used in (1.10) is as weak as in [28], where, assuming that there is a way to associate to $q_0$ scattering data, we should expect infinitely many poles concentrating near the points $\pm 1$ (for somewhat related material see [33]). This is a situation we do not consider. Instead, in the case when $\epsilon$ in (1.10) is finite, we know by [13] that there is only a finite number of poles. So we are very far from the very general set up considered in [2, 28, 3] and in some obvious respect our asymptotic stability result in the special case of solitons is much weaker than [28].

Nonetheless, in the case treated here of solutions of (1.1) with sufficient regularity and finite higher momenta, the steepest descent method provides more information on the asymptotic behavior of $q(x,t)$ as $t \to \infty$ than in [2, 28]. Furthermore, we treat the case of $N$–solitons for any $N$. It is also interesting to explore the same problem using completely different theoretical frameworks (we recall that [2, 28] follow the arguments introduced by Martel and Merle, see [34]–[35] and therein). Obviously the approach in [2, 28], not based on a direct use of the integrability of (1.1)–(1.2), appears more amenable to extension to non integrable NLS's and so stronger than ours also in this respect. On the other hand, apart from questions on the correct formulation of the problem and some technical complications in Sect. 6.4, the arguments in the present paper are technically rather elementary. Considering that, from the viewpoint of scattering data, distinct integrable systems might not be very different from each other, perhaps similar arguments apply to other systems. As for the integrability of (1.1)–(1.2) and the non robustness of this condition in real life, we remark that we expect that it might be possible to extend the analysis to non integrable systems, like in [19], although admittedly this part of the theory seems at its infancy. For a recent paper on this topic we refer to [9]. Our paper was written independently of [28], which we learned about only after finishing the mathematical part of our paper.

# 2 Plan of the proof

We prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.6 by applying the inverse scattering transform (IST) to the NLS equation (1.1)-(1.2).

In Sections 3 we review the integrable structure of (1.1). The Lax-pair (3.1) gives one an eigenvalue problem (3.5) in which the solution $q(x,t)$ of NLS appears as a potential. We construct *Jost solutions* of (3.5), certain normalized solutions of (3.5): $\psi_1^-(z;x,t)$ and $\psi_2^+(z;x,t)$, $k = 1,2$, holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ with derivatives in $\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$ extending continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ and $\psi_1^+(z;x,t)$ and $\psi_2^-(z;x,t)$, $k = 1,2$, holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} z < 0$ with derivatives in $\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$ extending continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}$. We enumerate several properties of these solutions under various assumptions on the smoothness and decay rate of $q(x,t) - \tanh(x)$. Implicit to this construction is that we have global solutions of (1.1), $q \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_4$. This is shown in Appendix B.

In Section 4 we describe how one constructs the scattering data from these Jost functions. The Wronskian $\det[\psi_1^-(z;x,t), \psi_2^+(z;x,t)]$ is shown to be independent of both $x$ and $t$, and its zeros are precisely the discrete spectrum of (3.5) for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. These numbers each encode a single soliton component of the solution of (1.1). The total number of solutions of $\det[\psi_1^-(z;x,t), \psi_2^+(z;x,t)] = 0$ is finite provided $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, (c.f. Lemma 4.8 below). The totality of the scattering data generated by $q_0(x)$ consist of the zeros

$\{z_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$ of the Wronskian, where $N \geq 1$ is finite, of their corresponding coupling constants $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$, and of the reflection coefficient $r(z)$, which we will show belongs in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies additional estimates proved in Sect. 4. In particular we show that generically we have (c.f. (4.16)) $\lim_{z\to\pm 1} r(z) = \mp 1$. The situation in which (4.16) does not hold is simpler. Another issue that appears in Section 4 is that the map from initial data $q_0$ to scattering data is not continuous at the soliton solutions. In appendix $C$ we show that even compactly supported perturbations of the single black soliton can be multisolitonic in that the perturbed Wronskian $\det[\psi_1^-(z;x,t), \psi_2^+(z;x,t)]$ can have up to two new zeros in $\mathbb{C}^+$. The new zeros however are very close to $z = \pm 1$ corresponding to nearly white solitons. In particular we have a perturbative result in Lemma 4.7.

In Sect. 5 we define a Riemann–Hilbert problem (RHP) for a sectionally meromorphic function $m(z;x,t)$ and describe how the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) can be recovered from the solution $m(z;x,t)$ of the RHP. We initiate the long time analysis of (1.1) in Sect 6 by using the $\overline{\partial}$ generalization of the Deift-Zhou steepest descent procedure following the ideas in [21]. This proceeds as a series of three explicit transformations $m(z) \mapsto m^{(1)}(z) \mapsto m^{(2)}(z) \mapsto m^{(3)}(z)$ such that the final unknown $m^{(3)}(z)$ is a continuous function in the complex plane with an asymptotically small $\overline{\partial}$ derivative uniformly in the complex plane. This allows one to prove the existence of $m^{(3)}$ using functional analytic methods and the theory of the solid Cauchy transform.

In Sect. 6.1 we introduce the first transformation, a set of conjugations and interpolations such that the new unknown $m^{(1)}$ has no poles following the ideas in [14, 29, 1]. The second transformation is the heart of the steepest descent method, where appropriate factorizations of the jump matrices of the RHP on the real line are introduced and certain non-analytic extensions of these factorizations are used to deform the jumps onto contours in the plane on which they are asymptotically small. The main issue here is that $|r(1)| = 1$ introduces singular factors in the factors (6.3) which are part of the matrix factorizations in (6.1)–(6.3), which play a central role in the theory. Nevertheless, in Sect. 6.2 we construct extensions whose $\overline{\partial}$ derivatives satisfy particular bounds, analogous to the those proved in the case of vanishing initial data [21, Proposition 2.1]. These bounds are later used to control certain solid Cauchy integral operators that appear later in the inverse analysis.

Section 6.3 contains the third transformation which gives the leading order asymptotic behavior of the solution. In Lemma 6.6 we show that if one ignores the $\overline{\partial}$-component of $m^{(2)}$ what remains is a trivial conjugation of the RHP corresponding to an $N$-soliton whose reflectionless scattering data $\{0, \{z_k, \widetilde{c}_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}\}$ is known exactly. The poles $z_k$ are the same as those generated by the original initial data $q_0$ given in (1.2), but the connection coefficients $\widetilde{c}_k$ are modifications of the original $c_k$ by an amount which depends upon the reflection coefficient coefficient (c.f. (1.7)). We solve this $N$-soliton problem exactly, so that we have a single expression for the asymptotic behavior of the solution uniformly for $|x| < 2t$ for large $t$. We then given a long time asymptotic expansion for the $N$-soliton solution depending on the ratio $\xi = x/2t$ which gives the soliton component of the soliton resolution conjecture.

Finally, in Sect. 6.4 we prove the existence of the function $m^{(3)}$ and estimate its size in a way similar to Sect. 2.4–2.5 in [21] using the bounds on the $\overline{\partial}$ derivatives of the extensions constructed previously in Section 6.2. Summing up the estimates yields the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Sect. 6.5.

# 3 Jost functions

In this section we state without proof the details of the forward scattering transform for defocusing NLS for step-like initial data. The results are well known and the interested reader can find pedagogical and detailed treatments in the literature, see [24, 6, 20].

The integrability of (1.1) follows from its Lax pair representation

$$v_x = \mathcal{L}v, \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\mathrm{i}v_t = \mathcal{B}v. \tag{3.1b}$$

The $2 \times 2$ matrices $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(z; x, t) = \mathrm{i}\sigma_3(Q - \lambda(z)) \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(z; x, t) = -2\mathrm{i}\lambda(z)\mathcal{L} - (Q^2 - I)\sigma_3 + \mathrm{i}Q_x \tag{3.2b}$$

where,

$$Q = Q(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{q}(x, t) \\ q(x, t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \lambda(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1}),$$

and $\sigma_3$ is the third Pauli matrix:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

The commutativity of the mixed partials of $v$, which is the compatibility condition for a simultaneous solution of (3.1), is equivalent to

$$\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{i}\mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{B}_x + [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B}]) = -\mathrm{i}\sigma_3 Q_t + Q_{xx} - 2(Q^2 - I)Q = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

which is just a matrix reformulation of (1.1).

Fix $q(x)$ such that $\lim_{x \to \pm\infty} q(x) = \pm 1$ (appropriate reformulations of what follows hold for different boundary values in $\partial D(0, 1)$). Writing (3.1a) as an eigenvalue equation gives

$$\mathrm{i}\sigma_3 v_x + Qv - \lambda(z)v = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$B_\pm = B_\pm(z) = I \pm \sigma_1 z^{-1} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$X^\pm(x, z) = B_\pm(z) e^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)x\sigma_3} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z - z^{-1}). \tag{3.8}$$

Then $X^\pm$ are the solutions of (3.1a) obtained by replacing $Q(x)$ by $\pm Q_+$ in (3.5) with $Q_+ = \lim_{x \to +\infty} Q(x) = \sigma_1$. We define *Jost functions*, $\psi_j^\pm(z; x), j = 1, 2$, to be the column vector solutions of (3.5) whose values approach those of the $j^{th}$ column of (3.7) as $x \to \pm\infty$. The existence of such solutions, and their analytic properties as functions of $z$, is the subject of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $q(x)$ be such that $q - \tanh(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0, 1, -1\}$ the system* (3.5) *admits solutions*

$$\psi_1^{\pm}(z;x) = m_1^{\pm}(z;x)e^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)x} \quad \textit{and} \quad \psi_2^{\pm}(z;x) = m_2^{\pm}(z;x)e^{\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)x} \tag{3.9}$$

*such that*

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} m_1^{\pm}(z;x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{x\to\pm\infty} m_2^{\pm}(z;x) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm z^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.10}$$

*Both $\psi_1^+(z;x)$ and $\psi_2^-(z;x)$ extend analytically into solutions of* (3.5) *for $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $\psi_2^+(z;x)$ and $\psi_1^-(z;x)$ extend into solutions of* (3.5) *for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$.*

*Here $m_1^{\pm}(z;x)$ and $m_2^{\pm}(z;x)$ are the unique solution of the integral equations*

$$m_1^{\pm}(z;x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \int_{\pm\infty}^{x} X^{\pm}(x,z)X^{\pm}(y,z)^{-1}\mathrm{i}\sigma_3(Q(y) \mp \sigma_1)m_1^{\pm}(z;y)e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\zeta(z)}dy, \tag{3.11}$$

$$m_2^{\pm}(z;x) = \begin{pmatrix} \pm z^{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \int_{\pm\infty}^{x} X^{\pm}(x,z)X^{\pm}(y,z)^{-1}\mathrm{i}\sigma_3(Q(y) \mp \sigma_1)m_2^{\pm}(z;y)e^{-\mathrm{i}(x-y)\zeta(z)}dy. \tag{3.12}$$

*Furthermore for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $z \to m_1^{\pm}(z;x)$ is a continuous map from $\overline{\mathbb{C}^{\mp}}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ (with analytic restriction in $\mathbb{C}^{\mp}$) into $C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2)$ in the + case and $C^1((-\infty,x_0],\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}((-\infty,x_0],\mathbb{C}^2)$ in the – case. Similarly, we have that $z \to m_2^{\pm}(z;x)$ is a continuous map from $\overline{\mathbb{C}^{\pm}}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ (whose restriction in $\mathbb{C}^{\pm}$ is analytic) into $C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2)$ in the + case and $C^1((-\infty,x_0],\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}((-\infty,x_0],\mathbb{C}^2)$ in the – case.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$, the map $q \to \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} m_1^+(z;\cdot)$, with $m_1^+$ as defined in Lemma 3.1, is locally Lipschitz continuous from*

$$\tanh(x) + L^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}) \to L^{\infty}_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}, C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2)). \tag{3.13}$$

*Additionally, the maps $z \to \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} m_1^{\pm}(z;x)$ are continuous from $\overline{\mathbb{C}^{\mp}}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ (with analytic restriction in $\mathbb{C}^{\mp}$) to $C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}^2)$*

*Similar statements to* (3.13) *hold for $q \to m_2^+(z;\cdot)$ and for $q \to m_j^-(z;\cdot)$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

*Specifically, there exists an increasing function $F_n(t)$, independent of $q$, such that*

$$\left|\partial_z^n[m_1^+(z;x)]\right| \le F_n((1+|x|)^n\|q-1\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)}), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^-}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}. \tag{3.14}$$

*Furthermore, given potentials $q$ and $\widetilde{q}$ sufficiently close together we have for each $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^-}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$,*

$$\left|\partial_z^n[m_1^+(z;x) - \widetilde{m}_1^+(z;x)]\right| \le \|q-\widetilde{q}\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)} F_n((1+|x|)^n\|q-1\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)}). \tag{3.15}$$

*Similar estimates hold for the other Jost functions.*

The above lemmas suggests that the Jost functions exhibit singular behavior for $z$ near $-1, 0$, or $1$. The singular behavior of these solutions at $z = 0$ plays a non-trivial and unavoidable role in our analysis. However, as the following lemma makes clear, if the initial data $q$ has an additional finite first moment, then the singularities of the Jost functions at $z = \pm 1$ are removable.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $K$ be a compact neighborhood of $\{-1, 1\}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}\backslash\{0\}$. Set $x^\pm = \max\{\pm x, 0\}$. Then there exists a $C$ such that for $z \in K$ we have*

$$\left| m_1^+(z;x) - \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq C\langle x^- \rangle e^{C\int_x^\infty \langle y-x\rangle |q(y)-1|dy} \|q-1\|_{L^{1,1}(x,\infty)}, \tag{3.16}$$

*i.e., the map $z \to m_1^+(z;x)$ extends as a continuous map to the points $\pm 1$ with values in $C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C})$ for any preassigned $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, the map $q \to m_1^+(z;\cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous from*

$$\tanh(x) + L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{C}^-}\backslash\{0\}, C^1([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C}) \cap W^{1,\infty}([x_0,\infty),\mathbb{C})). \tag{3.17}$$

*Analogous statements hold for $m_2^+(z;x)$ and for $m_j^-(z;x)$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

*The maps $z \to \partial_z^n m_1^+(z;x)$ and $q \to \partial_z^n m_1^+(z;x)$, also satisfy analogous statements and we have, as in* (3.24)*,*

$$\left|\partial_z^n m_1^+(z;x)\right| \leq F_n\left((1+|x|)^{n+1}\|q-1\|_{L^{1,n+1}(x,\infty)}\right), \quad z \in K. \tag{3.18}$$

The final lemma in this section concerns the behavior of the Jost functions as $|z| \to \infty$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} D_+(x) &= \|q-1\|_{W^{2,1}(x,\infty)}(1+\|q-1\|_{W^{2,1}(x,\infty)})^2 e^{\|q-1\|_{L^1(x,\infty)}}, \\ D_-(x) &= \|q+1\|_{W^{2,1}(-\infty,x)}(1+\|q+1\|_{W^{2,1}(-\infty,x)})^2 e^{\|q+1\|_{L^1(-\infty,x)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Suppose that $q - \tanh(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and that $q' \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then as $z \to \infty$ with $\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0$ we have*

$$m_1^+(z;x) = e_1 + \frac{1}{z}\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty \left(1-|q(y)|^2\right)dy \\ q(x) \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(D_+(x)z^{-2}\right), \tag{3.20}$$

$$m_2^-(z;x) = e_2 + \frac{1}{z}\begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}(x) \\ \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^x \left(1-|q(y)|^2\right)dy \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(D_-(x)z^{-2}\right), \tag{3.21}$$

*and for $\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0$ as $z \to \infty$ we have*

$$m_1^-(z;x) = e_1 + \frac{1}{z}\begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^x \left(1-|q(y)|^2\right)dy \\ q(x) \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(D_-(x)z^{-2}\right), \tag{3.22}$$

$$m_2^+(z;x) = e_2 + \frac{1}{z}\begin{pmatrix} \bar{q}(x) \\ -\mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty \left(1-|q(y)|^2\right)dy \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(D_+(x)z^{-2}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

*where the constant in each $\mathcal{O}\left(D_\pm(x)z^{-2}\right)$ is independent of $z$.*

*If $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$ as well, then there exists an increasing function $F_n(t)$ independent of $q$ such that as $z \to \infty$*

$$\left|\partial_z^j[m_1^+(z;x)]\right| \leq |z|^{-1}F_n((1+|x|)^n\|q-1\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)}). \tag{3.24}$$

*Finally, given two potential $q$ and $\widetilde{q}$ sufficiently close together we have*

$$\left|\partial_z^n[m_1^+(z;x) - \widetilde{m}_1^+(z;x)]\right| \leq |z|^{-1}\|q-\widetilde{q}\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)}F_n((1+|x|)^n\|q-1\|_{L^{1,n}(x,\infty)}) \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.25}$$

*Similar estimates hold for the other Jost functions.*

The previous lemma and the symmetry (4.4) imply the following corollary which describes the singularities of the Jost solutions at the origin.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let $q$ be as in Lemma 3.4. Then for $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$, as $z \to 0$ we have*

$$m_1^+(z;x) = \frac{1}{z}e_2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \textit{and} \quad m_2^-(z;x) = -\frac{1}{z}e_1 + \mathcal{O}(1) \tag{3.26}$$

*where $|\mathcal{O}(1)| \leq F(\|q-1\|_{W^{2,1}(x,\infty)})$, and for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, as $z \to 0$ we have*

$$m_1^-(z;x) = -\frac{1}{z}e_2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \textit{and} \quad m_2^-(z;x) = \frac{1}{z}e_1 + \mathcal{O}(1) \tag{3.27}$$

*where $|\mathcal{O}(1)| \leq F(\|q+1\|_{W^{2,1}(-\infty,x)})$ for some growing functions $F(t)$.*

# 4 The scattering data

We start with the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $q - \tanh(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

1. *For $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ both of the matrix-valued functions*

$$\Psi^\pm(z;x) = \left(\psi_1^\pm(z;x),\ \psi_2^\pm(z;x)\right) = \left(m_1^\pm(z;x),\ m_2^\pm(z;x)\right) e^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)x\sigma_3} \tag{4.1}$$

   *are nonsingular solutions of* (3.5) *and*

$$\det \Psi_\pm = \det \Psi_\pm(z) = 1 - z^{-2}. \tag{4.2}$$

2. *For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ the Jost functions $\psi_j^\pm$ satisfy the symmetries*

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1^-(z;x) = \sigma_1 \overline{\psi_2^-(\overline{z};x)} \\ \psi_2^+(z;x) = \sigma_1 \overline{\psi_1^+(\overline{z};x)} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

   *and*

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1^-(z;x) = -z^{-1}\psi_2^-(z^{-1};x) \\ \psi_2^+(z;x) = \quad z^{-1}\psi_1^+(z^{-1};x). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

*Proof.* The matrices $\Psi^\pm$ are solutions of (3.5), which follows from Lemma 3.1. To establish (4.2) and thus that $\Psi^\pm$ is nonsingular, observe that $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the matrix (3.2a) appearing in (3.1a), so that $\det \Psi^\pm(z;x) = \det \Psi^\pm(z)$. Finally, $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \det \Psi^\pm = \det B_\pm = 1 - z^{-2}$.

To prove the symmetries (4.3)-(4.4) start with $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$. The symmetries of the Lax matrix: $\mathcal{L}(z) = \sigma_1\overline{\mathcal{L}(\overline{z})}\sigma_1 = \mathcal{L}(z^{-1})$ and of the "free" solution: $X^\pm(x,z) = \sigma_1\overline{X^\pm(x,\overline{z})}\sigma_1 = \pm z^{-1}X^\pm(x,z^{-1})\sigma_1$ imply that for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ the Jost matrices satisfy

$$\Psi^\pm(z;x) = \sigma_1\overline{\Psi^\pm(\overline{z};x)}\sigma_1 = \pm z^{-1}\Psi(z^{-1};x)\sigma_1.$$

Analytically extending each column vector solution $\psi_j^\pm(z;x)$ off the real axis into the half plane indicated by Lemma 3.1 gives (4.3)-(4.4).

□

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let $q - \tanh(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then each of the Jost functions $\psi_k^{\pm}(z;x)$ satisfy*

$$\overline{\psi_k^{\pm}(\overline{z^{-1}};x)} = \pm z\sigma_1\psi_k^{\pm}(z;x) \tag{4.5}$$

*upon reflecting $z$ through the unit circle in the half-plane in which each Jost function is defined.*

The columns of $\Psi^+(z;x)$ and $\Psi^-(z;x)$ each form a solution basis of (3.5) for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$. It follows that the matrices must satisfy the linear relation

$$\Psi^-(z;x) = \Psi^+(z;x)S(z), \qquad S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} a(z) & \overline{b(z)} \\ b(z) & \overline{a(z)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\} \tag{4.6}$$

where the form of the *scattering matrix* $S(z)$ follows from (4.3). The scattering coefficients $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ define the *reflection coefficient*

$$r(z) := \frac{b(z)}{a(z)}. \tag{4.7}$$

The following lemma records several important properties of $a(z)$ and $b(z)$.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ and $a(z)$, $b(z)$, and $r(z)$ be the data in* (4.6)-(4.7) *generated by some $q \in \tanh(x) + L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

1. *The scattering coefficients can be expressed in terms of the Jost functions as*

$$a(z) = \frac{\det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(z;x)]}{1-z^{-2}}, \qquad b(z) = \frac{\det[\psi_1^+(z;x), \psi_1^-(z;x)]}{1-z^{-2}}. \tag{4.8}$$

   *It follows that $a(z)$ extends analytically to $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ while $b(z)$ and $r(z)$ are defined only for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$.*

2. *For each $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$*

$$|a(z)|^2 - |b(z)|^2 = 1. \tag{4.9}$$

   *In particular, for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,0,1\}$ we have*

$$|r(z)|^2 = 1 - |a(z)|^{-2} < 1. \tag{4.10}$$

3. *The scattering data satisfy the symmetries*

$$-\overline{a(\bar{z}^{-1})} = a(z), \qquad -\overline{b(\bar{z}^{-1})} = b(z), \qquad \overline{r(\bar{z}^{-1})} = r(z) \tag{4.11}$$

   *wherever they are defined.*

4. *If additionally $q' \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, then for $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$,*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} (a(z)-1)\, z = \mathrm{i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|q(x)|^2 - 1\right) dx, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\lim_{z\to 0} (a(z)+1) z^{-1} = \mathrm{i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|q(x)|^2 - 1\right) dx, \tag{4.13}$$

   *and for $z \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\begin{aligned} |b(z)| &= \mathcal{O}\left(|z|^{-2}\right) \text{ as } |z| \to \infty, \\ |b(z)| &= \mathcal{O}\left(|z|^{2}\right) \text{ as } |z| \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

*Proof.* The first property follows from applying Cramer's rule to (4.6) and using (4.2); one then observes that Lemma 3.1 implies that the formula for $a(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. The second property is just the fact that $\det S = 1$ which follows from taking the determinant on each side of (4.6) using (4.2). The symmetry conditions follow immediately from (4.8) after using (4.3)-(4.4); for instance

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{a(\bar{z}^{-1})} = \frac{\det\left[\overline{\psi_1^-(\bar{z}^{-1};x)},\ \overline{\psi_2^+(\bar{z}^{-1};x)}\right]}{1-z^2} &= \frac{1}{1-z^2}\det\left[\sigma_1.(-z\psi_1^-(z;x), z\psi_2^+(z;x))\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{1-z^{-2}}\det\left[\psi_1^-(z;x),\ \psi_2^+(z;x)\right] = -a(z).\end{aligned}$$

To prove (4.12) first observe that

$$|q(y)\pm 1|^2 - (2 \pm q(y) \pm \bar{q}(y)) = |q(y)^2| - 1.$$

Inserting (3.22)-(3.23) from Lemma 3.4 into (4.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned}(1-z^{-2})a(z) &= \det\begin{bmatrix} 1+\mathrm{i}z^{-1}\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left(|q(y)|^2-1\right)dy & z^{-1}\bar{q}(x) \\ z^{-1}q(x) & 1+\mathrm{i}z^{-1}\int_{x}^{\infty}\left(|q(y)|^2-1\right)dy\end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right) \\ &= 1+\mathrm{i}z^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(|q(y)|^2-1\right)\,dy + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

To prove (4.13) write $z = \bar{\varsigma}^{-1}$ and use (4.11) and (4.12); the formulae for $b(z)$ in (4.14) are proved similarly. □

Though Lemma 3.3 gives conditions on $q$ which guarantee that the Jost functions $\psi_j^{\pm}(z;x)$ are continuous for $z \to \pm 1$, the scattering coefficients $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ will generally have simple poles at these points due to the vanishing of the denominators in (4.8). Moreover, their residues are proportional: the symmetry (4.4) implies that $\psi_1^+(\pm 1;x) = \pm\psi_2^+(\pm 1;x)$, which in turn gives

$$\begin{aligned}a(z) &= \frac{a_\pm}{z\mp 1} + \mathcal{O}(1), \\ b(z) &= \mp\frac{a_\pm}{z\mp 1} + \mathcal{O}(1),\end{aligned} \qquad a_\pm = \det[\psi_1^-(\pm 1;x),\ \psi_2^+(\pm 1;x)]. \tag{4.15}$$

In this generic situation the reflection coefficient remains bounded at $z = \pm 1$ and we have

$$\lim_{z\to\pm 1} r(z) = \mp 1. \tag{4.16}$$

The next lemma show that, given data $q_0$ with sufficient smoothness and decay properties, the reflection coefficient will also be smooth and decaying.

**Lemma 4.4.** *For any given $q \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$, $q' \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $r \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$.*

*Proof.* Because $\|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ and, by Lemma 4.3, we have $r(z) = \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right)$ as $z \to \pm\infty$ it's clear that

$$q \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_2 \Rightarrow r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.17}$$

It remains to show that the derivative $r'$ is also $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

For any $\delta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, the maps

$$q \to \det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(z;x)] \quad \text{and} \quad q \to \det[\psi_1^+(z;x), \psi_1^-(z;x)] \tag{4.18}$$

are locally Lipschitz maps from

$$\{q : q' \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } q \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,n+1}(\mathbb{R})\} \to W^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}\backslash(-\delta_0, \delta_0)) \text{ for } n \geq 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Indeed, $q \to \psi_1^+(z,0)$ is, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 (c.f. in particular (3.13) and (3.18)), a locally Lipschitz map with values in $W^{n,\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{C}}^-\backslash D(0,\delta_0), \mathbb{C}^2)$. For $q \to \psi_2^+(z,0)$ and $q \to \psi_1^-(z,0)$ the same is true but with $\mathbb{C}_-$ replaced by $\mathbb{C}_+$. This and (4.12)–(4.14) implies that $q \to r(z)$ is a locally Lipschitz map from the domain in (4.19) into

$$W^{n,\infty}(I_{\delta_0}) \cap H^n(I_{\delta_0}) \text{ with } I_{\delta_0} := \mathbb{R}\backslash((-\delta_0, \delta_0) \cup (1-\delta_0, 1+\delta_0) \cup (-1-\delta_0, -1+\delta_0).$$

Now fix $\delta_0 > 0$ so small that the 3 intervals $\text{dist}(z, \{\pm 1\}) \leq \delta_0$ and $|z| \leq \delta_0$ have empty intersection. In the complement of their union

$$|\partial_z^j r(z)| \leq C_{\delta_0} \langle z \rangle^{-1} \text{ for } j = 0, 1 \tag{4.20}$$

by (3.25), its analogues for the other Jost functions, and the discussion above.

Let $|z-1| < \delta_0$. Then, using the $a_+$ in (4.15) we have

$$r(z) = \frac{b(z)}{a(z)} = \frac{\det[\psi_1^+(z;x), \psi_1^-(z;x)]}{\det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(z;x)]} = \frac{-a_+ + \int_1^z F(s)ds}{a_+ + \int_1^z G(s)ds} \tag{4.21}$$

for $F(z) = \partial_z \det[\psi_1^+(z;x), \psi_1^-(z;x)]$ and $G(z) = \partial_z \det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(z;x)]$. If $a_+ \neq 0$ then it is clear from the above formula that $r'(z)$ is defined and bounded around 1.

If $a_+ = 0$ we have

$$r(z) = \frac{\int_1^z F(s)ds}{\int_1^z G(s)ds}. \tag{4.22}$$

Now, $a_+ = 0$ is the same as $[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(z;x)]_{|z=1} = 0$. Differentiating (4.23) at $z = 1$ we get

$$2a(1) = \partial_z \det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(x;z)]_{|z=1} = G(1).$$

This implies that $G(1) \neq 0$, since otherwise $|a(1)|^2 - |b(1)|^2 = 1$, which holds by continuity at $z = 1$, would not be true. It follows that the derivative $r'(z)$ is bounded near 1.

The same discussion holds at $-1$. At $z = 0$ we can use the symmetry $r(z^{-1}) = \overline{r}(z)$ to conclude that $r$ vanishes at the origin. It follows that $r' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. □

We also have the following result, which is used later in the proof.

**Lemma 4.5.** *For any initial data $q_0$ such that $q_0 - \tanh(x) \in \Sigma_2$ the reflection coefficient satisfies*

$$\| \log(1 - |r|^2) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty \text{ for any } p \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Fix $\delta \in (0,1)$. Let $K = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : 1 - |r(z)|^2 \in [\delta, 1]\}$ and let $\chi$ denote the indicator function of $K$. As $r \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ clearly $(1-\chi)$ has finite support containing intervals surrounding $z = \pm 1$. Using the concavity of the logarithm, for $z \in K$ we have $|\log(1-|r(z)|^2)| \leq M_\delta |r(z)|^2$, $M_\delta = \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{1-\delta}$. The previous inequality and the identity $1 - |r(z)|^2 = |a(z)|^{-2}$ give

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\log(1-|r|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} &= \left\|\chi \log(1-|r|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} + \left\|(1-\chi)\log(1-|r|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq M_\delta \|\chi\, r^2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} + \left\|(1-\chi)\log(|a|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq M_\delta \|r\|^{2/p}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\|(1-\chi)\log(|a|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})},\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from observing that $\|r\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$.

To estimate the second term we observe that by the identity

$$(z^2-1)a(z) = z^2 \det[\psi_1^-(z;x), \psi_2^+(x;z)] \tag{4.23}$$

we have $(z^2-1)a(z) \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ for initial data $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|(1-\chi)\log(|a|^2)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left\|(1-\chi)\log\left(\frac{1}{|\Diamond^2 - 1|}\right)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} + \left\|(1-\chi)\log\left(\left|(\Diamond^2-1)a\right|\right)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \left\|(1-\chi)\log\left(\frac{1}{|\Diamond^2 - 1|}\right)\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} + \left\|\log\left(\left|(\Diamond^2-1)a\right|\right)\right\|_{L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R})} \|1-\chi\|^{1/p}_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}$$

□

*Remark* 4.6. In terms of the regularity needed, among other things, in the latter proofs in this paper we use often the fact, proved in Lemma 4.4, that $r \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Another fact, used only to prove inequality (6.19), is that the Wronskians in (4.18) have bounded derivatives up to order 2 in a small neighborhood of $z = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$. For both facts it is sufficient to require that $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_4$.

We conclude this subsection with a result on small perturbations of an $N$-soliton solution.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Given an $M$-soliton $q^{(sol),M}(x,t)$ and initial data $q_0(x)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6 the number of solutions $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ of $\det\left[\psi_1^-(z;x,0), \psi_2^+(z;x,0)\right] = 0$, where the Jost functions correspond to $q_0(x)$, is at least $M$ and is finite when $q_0$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6 for $\varepsilon_0$ small enough. Furthermore* (1.11) *holds.*

*Proof.* In Lemma 4.8 it is proved that when $q_0 - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ then the number of zeros is finite. The other statements are elementary consequences of the theory which we review in Sections 3–4, and specifically of the Lipschitz dependence of the Jost functions in terms of $q_0$ and of the specific form of the determinants in the case of a multisoliton, which follows immediately from (4.8) and the formula for $a(z)$, see (4.27) below. □

## 4.1 The discrete spectrum

At any zero $z = z_k \in \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$ of $a(z)$ it follows from (4.8) that the pair $\psi_1^-(z_k;x)$ and $\psi_2^+(z_k;x)$ are linearly related; the symmetry (4.3) implies that $\psi_2^-(\overline{z}_k;x)$ and $\psi_1^+(\overline{z}_k;x)$ are also linearly related. That is, there exists a constant $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\psi_1^-(z_k,x) = \gamma_k \psi_2^+(z_k;x), \qquad \psi_2^-(\overline{z}_k;x) = \overline{\gamma}_k \psi_1^+(\overline{z}_k;x). \tag{4.24}$$

These $\gamma_k$ are called the *connection coefficients* associated to the discrete spectral values $z_k$.

If $z_k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ then it follows that $\psi_1^-(z_k;x)$ and $\psi_2^-(\overline{z}_k;x)$ are $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ eigenfunctions of (3.5) with eigenvalue $\lambda(z_k)$ and $\overline{\lambda}(z_k)$ respectively. If $z_k \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\psi(z_k;x)$ is bounded but not $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and we say that $z_k$ is an embedded eigenvalue. However, it follows from (4.9) and (4.13) that $|a(z)| \geq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1,1\}$, so the only possible embedded eigenvalues are $\pm 1$. Then as (3.5) is self-adjoint, the non-real zeros of $a(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}^+$ are restricted to the unit circle, i.e., $|z_k| = 1$, so that $\lambda(z_k)$ is real. The following lemma demonstrates that, unlike the case of vanishing data for focusing NLS, the discrete spectral data takes a very restricted form.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

1. *The zeros of $a(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}^+$ are simple and finite.*
2. *At each $z_k$, a zero of $a(z)$:*
   - *i. $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}(z_k)$ and $\gamma_k$ are pure imaginary;*
   - *ii. their arguments satisfy*

$$\operatorname{sgn}(-\mathrm{i}\gamma_k) = -\operatorname{sgn}\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}(z_k)\right). \tag{4.25}$$

*Proof.* Suppose $z_k$ is a zero of $a(z)$, and $\gamma_k$ the connection coefficient in (4.24). Then as $z_k$ lies on the unit circle we have $\overline{z_k^{-1}} = z_k$. Applying (4.5) to (4.24) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\psi_1^-(\overline{z}_k^{-1};x)} &= \overline{\gamma}_k \overline{\psi_2^+(\overline{z}_k^{-1};x)} \\
-z_k\sigma_1\psi_1^-(z_k;x) &= \overline{\gamma}_k z_k \sigma_1 \psi_2^+(z_k;x) \\
\psi_1^-(z_k;x) &= -\overline{\gamma}_k \psi_2^+(z_k;x).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing this to (4.24) shows that $\overline{\gamma}_k = -\gamma_k$, or $\gamma_k \in i\mathbb{R}$.

To prove the remaining facts, note that $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ implies $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}$ exists and we have from (4.8)

$$\left.\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}\right|_{z=z_k} = \left.\frac{\det\left[\partial_\lambda \psi_1^-(z;x),\ \psi_2^+(z;x)\right] + \det\left[\psi_1^-(z;x),\ \partial_\lambda\psi_2^+(z;x)\right]}{1-z^{-2}}\right|_{z=z_k}.$$

Using (3.2a) one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\det\left[\partial_\lambda\psi_1^-,\ \psi_2^+\right] &= \det\left[\mathcal{L}_\lambda\psi_1^-,\ \psi_2^+\right] + \det\left[\mathcal{L}\partial_\lambda\psi_1^-,\ \psi_2^+\right] + \det\left[\partial_\lambda\psi_1^-,\ \mathcal{L}\psi_2^+\right] \\
&= -\mathrm{i}\det\left[\sigma_3\psi_1^-,\ \psi_2^+\right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\det\left[\psi_1^-,\ \partial_\lambda\psi_2^+\right] &= \det\left[\psi_1^-,\ \mathcal{L}_\lambda\psi_2^+\right] + \det\left[\mathcal{L}\psi_1^-,\ \partial_\lambda\psi_2^+\right] + \det\left[\psi_1^-,\ \mathcal{L}\partial_\lambda\psi_2^+\right] \\
&= -\mathrm{i}\det\left[\psi_1^-,\ \sigma_3\psi_2^+\right]
\end{aligned}$$

where the cancellation in each equality follows from observing that $\operatorname{adj}\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{L}$.[‡] Recalling that at each $z_k$

[‡] $\operatorname{adj} M$ denotes the adjugate of the matrix $M$, it satisfies $M \operatorname{adj} M = (\det M)I$.

the columns are linearly related by (4.24) and decay exponentially as $|x| \to \infty$,

$$\det\left[\partial_\lambda \psi_1^-,\ \psi_2^+\right] = -\mathrm{i}\gamma_k \int_{-\infty}^{x} \det[\sigma_3 \psi_2^+(z_k;s), \psi_2^+(z_k;s)]ds,$$
$$\det\left[\psi_1^-,\ \partial_\lambda \psi_2^+\right] = -\mathrm{i}\gamma_k \int_{x}^{\infty} \det[\sigma_3 \psi_2^+(z_k;s), \psi_2^+(z_k;s)]ds.$$

Then using (4.5) to write $\psi_2^+(z_k;x) = z_k^{-1}\sigma_1\overline{\psi_2^+(z_k;x)}$ in the first column of the determinants, we have, after putting the terms together,

$$\left.\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda}\right|_{z=z_k} = \frac{-\mathrm{i}\gamma_k}{2\zeta(z_k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_2^+(z_k;x)|^2 dx. \tag{4.26}$$

Recalling that both $\gamma_k$ and $\zeta(z_k)$ are imaginary, (4.26) is both nonzero and imaginary. The simplicity of the zeros of $a$ and the signature restriction on $\gamma_k$ follow immediately.

To prove that the number of zeros is finite, observe that if the number were infinite they would necessarily accumulate at one (or both) of $z = \pm 1$. From (4.18)-(4.19) in Lemma 4.4 for $q - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ the functions

$$f_k(\theta) = \left|\partial_\theta^k \det[\psi_1^-(e^{i\theta};x), \psi_2^+(e^{i\theta};x)]\right|, \quad k = 0, 1$$

are continuous for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. Now if $z = 1$ is an accumulation points there exist sequences $\theta_j^{(k)}$, $k = 0, 1$, with $\lim_{j\to\infty} \theta_j^{(k)} = 0$ and $f_k(\theta_j^{(k)}) = 0$ for each $j$. It then follows from (4.8) and the continuity in (4.19) that $a(z) = o\,(1)$ as $z \to 1$. This contradicts the fact that $|a(z)|^2 \geq 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{-1, 0, 1\}$ by (4.9). The proof when $z = -1$ is an accumulation point is identical. □

*Remark* 4.9. The argument given above to prove that the number of zeros of $a(z)$ is finite is essentially the same as that given in [13]. Our contribution is a weaker condition on the potential in order to obtain smooth derivatives, which allows us to prove the result for $q_0 - \tanh(x) \in L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ instead of the $L^{1,4}(\mathbb{R})$ condition appearing in [13].

The zeros of $a(z)$ are simple, finite and restricted to the circle. As $a(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^+$, and approaches unity for large $z$, it admits an inner-outer factorization, see [24] p.50, which using (4.9) takes the form

$$a(z) = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{z - z_k}{z - \bar{z}_k}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 - |r(s)|^2)}{s - z} ds\right), \tag{4.27}$$

where $\{z_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ are the zeros of $a(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}^+$. This trace formula implies a dependence between the discrete spectrum $z_k$ and the reflection coefficient. Using (4.13), $a(0) := \lim_{z\to 0} a(z) = -1$, which gives

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} z_k^2 = a(0) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 - |r(s)|^2)}{s} ds\right) = -\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\log(1 - |r(s)|^2)}{s} ds\right). \tag{4.28}$$

The more general case $a(0) = e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$ is the "$\theta$-condition" in [24], formula (7.19) in Ch. 2.

### 4.2 Time evolution of the scattering data

Thus far we have considered only fixed potentials $q = q(x)$. The advantage of the inverse scattering transform is that if $q(x,t)$ evolves according to (1.1) then the evolution of the scattering data is linear and trivial as we see now.

By Theorem B.1 we have $q(x,t) - \tanh(x) \in C^1([0,\infty), \Sigma_2)$. It can be shown that this implies that the Jost functions $m_j^{\pm}(z;x,t)$ in Sect. 3 are differentiable in $t$ with $\partial_t m_j^{\pm}(z;x,t) \overset{x\to\pm\infty}{\to} 0$. This can be seen applying $\partial_t$ to (3.11)–(3.12) and obtaining a Volterra equation for $\partial_t m_j^{\pm}(z;x,t)$. By standard arguments, see for example [24, 18, 27], which we sketch now, the evolution of the scattering coefficients and discrete data are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a(z,t) &= a(z,0), & z_k(t) &= z_k(0), \\ b(z,t) &= b(z,0)e^{-4\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)\lambda(z)t}, & \gamma_k(t) &= \gamma_k(0)e^{-4\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)\lambda(z)t}, \\ r(z,t) &= r(z,0)e^{-4\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)\lambda(z)t}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

In particular here we sketch the first two equalities on the left. Due to (3.4) we can write equalities $(\mathrm{i}\partial_t + \mathcal{B})\Psi^{\pm}(z;x,t) = \Psi^{\pm}(z;x,t)C_{\pm}(z,t)$, with the $\Psi^{\pm}$ in (4.1). This yields

$$\partial_t m^{\pm}(z;x,t) + \mathrm{i}\mathcal{B}(z;x,t)m^{\pm}(z;x,t) = m^{\pm}(z;x,t)e^{-\mathrm{i}\zeta x\sigma_3}C_{\pm}(z,t)e^{\mathrm{i}\zeta x\sigma_3}.$$

Using

$$\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} m^{\pm}(z;x,t) = 1 \pm \frac{\sigma_1}{z},\ \lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \partial_t m^{\pm}(z;x,t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x\to\pm\infty} \mathcal{B}(z;x,t) = 2\lambda\sigma_3(\mp\sigma_1 - \lambda)$$

we obtain that $C_{\pm}(z,t)$ is diagonal with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\pm}(z,t) &= -2\mathrm{i}\lambda\left(1 \pm \frac{\sigma_1}{z}\right)^{-1}\sigma_3(\lambda \mp \sigma_1)\left(1 \pm \frac{\sigma_1}{z}\right) = -\frac{2\mathrm{i}\lambda z}{2\zeta}\left(1 \mp \frac{\sigma_1}{z}\right)^2(\lambda \pm \sigma_1)\sigma_3 \\ &= -\frac{\mathrm{i}\lambda z}{\zeta}\left(1 + z^{-2} \mp 2\frac{\sigma_1}{z}\right)(\lambda \pm \sigma_1)\sigma_3 = -\frac{2\mathrm{i}\lambda}{\zeta}(\lambda \mp \sigma_1)(\lambda \pm \sigma_1)\sigma_3 = -\frac{2\mathrm{i}\lambda}{\zeta}(\lambda^2 - 1)\sigma_3 = -2\mathrm{i}\lambda\zeta\sigma_3. \end{aligned}$$

Applying now $\mathrm{i}\partial_t + \mathcal{B}$ to the first equality in (4.6), that is to $\Psi^-(z;x,t) = \Psi^+(z;x,t)S(z,t)$, after elementary computations we get $\partial_t S = 2\mathrm{i}\lambda\zeta[\sigma_3, S]$. This yields the left column in (4.29).

# 5 Inverse scattering: set up of the Riemann Hilbert problem

For $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$, for $q(x,t)$ the solution to (1.1), and for $m_j^{\pm}(z;x,t)$, $j = 1,2$, the (normalized) Jost functions we set

$$m(z) = m(z;x,t) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m_1^-(z;x,t)}{a(z)}, \quad m_2^+(z;x,t)\right) & z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \\ \left(m_1^+(z;x,t), \quad \frac{m_2^-(z;x,t)}{\overline{a}(\overline{z})}\right) & z \in \mathbb{C}_- \end{cases}. \tag{5.1}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *We have*

$$\overline{m(\overline{z})} = \sigma_1 m(z)\sigma_1, \tag{5.2a}$$

$$m(z^{-1}) = z m(z)\sigma_1. \tag{5.2b}$$

*Proof.* Both are immediate consequences of the symmetries contained in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3. □

Assume $q \in \tanh(x) + L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $q'(x) \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For* $\pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0$

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z\,(m(z;x) - I) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty |q(y)|^2 - 1\,dy & \overline{q(x)} \\ q(x) & \mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty |q(y)|^2 - 1\,dy \end{pmatrix} \quad , \tag{5.3}$$

$$\lim_{z\to 0} \left( m(z;x) - \frac{\sigma_1}{z} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{q(x)} & -\mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty |q(y)|^2 - 1\,dy \\ \mathrm{i}\int_x^\infty |q(y)|^2 - 1\,dy & q(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad . \tag{5.4}$$

*Proof.* The behavior at infinity follows immediately from Lemma 3.4 and (4.12). The behavior at the origin is then a consequence of Lemma 5.1. □

It is an easy consequence of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 4.3, (4.24), and (4.29) that $m(z;x,t)$ satisfies the following Riemann Hilbert problem.

**Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5.1** *Find a* $2\times 2$ *matrix valued function* $m(z;x,t)$ *such that*

1. $m$ *is meromorphic for* $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$.
2. $m(z;x,t) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ *as* $z \to \infty$.
   $zm(z;x,t) = \sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ *as* $z \to 0$
3. *The non-tangential limits* $m_\pm(z;x,t) = \lim_{\mathbb{C}_\pm \ni \varsigma \to z} m(\varsigma;x,t)$ *exist for any* $z \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ *and satisfy the* jump relation $m_+(z;x,t) = m_-(z;x,t)V(z)$ *where*

$$V(z) := V_{tx}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |r(z)|^2 & -\overline{r(z)}e^{-\Phi(z;x,t)} \\ r(z)e^{\Phi(z;x,t)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.5}$$

   *and*

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi(z;x,t) = 2\mathrm{i}x\zeta(z) - 4\mathrm{i}\zeta(z)\lambda(z)t = \mathrm{i}x(z - z^{-1}) - \mathrm{i}t(z^2 - z^{-2}).$$

4. $m(z;x,t)$ *has simple poles at the points* $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^+ \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^+}$, $\mathcal{Z}^+ = \{z_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1} \subset \{z = e^{i\theta} \,:\, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$, *with residues satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{Res}_{z=z_k} m(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to z_k} m(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_k(x,t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \operatorname*{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_k} m(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to \bar{z}_k} m(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{c_k}(x,t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

   *where*

$$c_k(x,t) = \frac{\gamma_k(0)}{a'(z_k)} e^{\Phi(z_k;x,t)} = c_k e^{\Phi(z_k;x,t)}, \qquad c_k = \frac{\gamma_k(0)}{a'(z_k)} = \frac{4\mathrm{i}z_k}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_2^+(z_k;x,0)|^2 dx} = \mathrm{i}z_k|c_k|. \tag{5.7}$$

The potential $q(x,t)$ is found by the *reconstruction formula*, see Lemma 5.2,

$$q(x,t) = \lim_{z\to\infty} z\, m_{21}(z;x,t). \tag{5.8}$$

$N$–solitons are potentials corresponding to the case when $r(z) \equiv 0$.

**Lemma 5.3.** *If a solution $m(z;x,t)$ of RHP 5.1 exists, it is unique if and only if it satisfies the symmetries of Lemma 5.1, additionally for such a solution* $\det m(z;x,t) = 1 - z^{-2}$.

*Proof.* Suppose a solution $m(z)$ exists. It is trivial to verify using the symmetry $\overline{r(z)} = r(z^{-1})$ and the condition $\overline{z_k} c_k \in i\mathbb{R}$ on the norming constants that both $\sigma_1 \overline{m(\bar{z})} \sigma_1$ and $z m(z^{-1}) \sigma_1$ are solutions as well. So uniqueness immediately implies symmetry.

Suppose the solution $m$ possesses the symmetries. Taking the determinant of both sides of the jump relation gives $\det m_+ = \det m_-$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ since $\det V \equiv 1$. It follows from this, the normalization condition and the residue conditions that $\det m$ is rational in $z$ with poles at some subset of $\mathcal{Z} \cup \{0\}$. However, the form of the residue relation (5.6) implies that at each $p \in \mathcal{Z}$ a single column has a pole whose residue is proportional to the value of the other column. It follows then that $\det m$ is regular at each point $p \in \mathcal{Z}$. As $z \to 0$ the normalization condition gives $z^2 \det m(z) \to -1$. So $\det m = 1 + \alpha z^{-1} - z^{-2}$ for some constant $\alpha$. However, the symmetry (5.2b) implies that $\det m(z) = -z^2 \det m(z^{-1})$ so $\alpha \equiv 0$.

Uniqueness then follows from applying Liouville's theorem to the ratio $m(\widetilde{m})^{-1}$ of any two solutions $m$, $\widetilde{m}$ of RHP 5.1, noting that at the origin we have

$$\lim_{z\to 0} m(z)(\widetilde{m}(z))^{-1} = \lim_{z\to 0} (z^2-1)^{-1} z m(z) \sigma_2 z \widetilde{m}(z)^T \sigma_2 = -(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^2 = I,$$

where by elementary computation $M^{-1} = (\det M)^{-1} \sigma_2 M^T \sigma_2$ for any invertible $2 \times 2$ matrix. □

# 6 The long time analysis

## 6.1 Step 1: Interpolation and conjugation

In order to perform the long time analysis using the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method we need to perform two essential operations:

(i) interpolate the poles by trading them for jumps along small closed loops enclosing each pole;

(ii) use factorizations of the jump matrix along the real axis to deform the contours onto those on which the oscillatory jump on the real axis is traded for exponential decay.

The second step is aided by two well known factorizations of the jump matrix $V$ in (5.5) :

$$V(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-|r(z)|^2 & -\overline{r(z)} e^{-\Phi} \\ r(z) e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = b(z)^{-\dagger} b(z) = B(z) T_0(z) B(z)^{-\dagger} \tag{6.1}$$

$$b(z)^{-\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{r(z)} e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad b(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r(z) e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.2}$$

$$B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{r(z)}{1-|r(z)|^2} e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_0(z) = (1-|r(z)|^2)^{\sigma_3}, \quad B(z)^{-\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\overline{r(z)}}{1-|r(z)|^2} e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.3}$$

where $A^\dagger$ denotes the Hermitian conjugate of $A$. Briefly, the leftmost term in the factorization can be deformed into $\mathbb{C}^-$, the rightmost factor into $\mathbb{C}^+$, while any central terms remain on the real axis. These deformations are useful when they deform the factors into regions in which the corresponding off-diagonal

exponentials $e^{\pm\Phi}$ are decaying. We will use a gently modified version of these factorizations to deform the jump contours, but first we introduce the pole interpolate which help account for these small changes.

Our method for dealing with the poles in the Riemann-Hilbert problem follows the ideas in [14], [29], [1]. To motivate the method we observe that on the unit circle the phase appearing in the residue conditions (5.6)-(5.7) satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta};x,t)) = \Phi(e^{\mathrm{i}\theta};x,t) = -4t\sin\theta(\xi-\cos\theta), \qquad \xi := \frac{x}{2t}. \tag{6.4}$$

It follows that the poles $z_k \in \mathcal{Z}$ are naturally split into three sets: those for which $\operatorname{Re}(z_k) < \xi$, corresponding to a connection coefficient $c_k(x,t) = c_k e^{\Phi(z_k,x,t)}$ which is exponentially decaying as $t \to \infty$; those for which $\operatorname{Re}(z_k) > \xi$, which have growing connection coefficients; and the singleton case $\operatorname{Re}(z_k) = \xi$ in which the connection coefficient is bounded in time. Given a finite set of discrete data $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^+ \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}^+}$, $\mathcal{Z}^+ = \{z_k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \,:\, k = 0,1,\dots,N-1\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Z}^+}$ formed by the complex conjugates of $\mathcal{Z}^+$, fix $\rho > 0$ small enough that

$$\text{the sets } |\operatorname{Re}(z-z_k)| \le \rho \text{ are pairwise disjoint and } \min_{z_k\in\mathcal{Z}^+} \operatorname{Im}(z_k) > \rho.. \tag{6.5}$$

We partition the set $\{0,\dots,N-1\}$ into the pair of sets

$$\Delta = \{j \,:\, \operatorname{Re} z_j > \xi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla = \{j \,:\, \operatorname{Re} z_j \le \xi\}. \tag{6.6}$$

These sets index all of the discrete spectra in the upper (and lower) half-plane. Those $j \in \Delta$ correspond to poles $z_j$ for which $|e^{\Phi(z_j)}| > 1$ and $j' \in \nabla$ to poles $z_{j'}$ for which $|e^{\Phi(z_{j'})}| \le 1$. Additionally, we define

$$j_0 = j_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } |\operatorname{Re}(z_j)-\xi| < \rho \text{ for some } j \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{6.7}$$

which is nonnegative only when some $z_{j_0}$ is near the line $\operatorname{Re} z = \xi$, so that $e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

The connection coefficients $c_j(x,t)$ for $j \in \Delta$ are exponentially large for $t \gg 1$ and for the purpose of steepest descent analysis we want our pole interpolate to "exchange" the $e^{\Phi}$ in these residues for $e^{-\Phi}$ in the new jump matrix.

Define the function

$$T(Z) = T(z,\xi) = \prod_{k\in\Delta}\left(\frac{z-z_k}{zz_k-1}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \log(1-|r(s)|^2)\left(\frac{1}{s-z}-\frac{1}{2s}\right)ds\right). \tag{6.8}$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *The function $T(z,\xi)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash[0,\infty)$ with simple poles at the $\bar{z}_k$ and simple zeros at the $z_k$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z_k) > \xi$, and satisfies the jump condition*

$$T_+(z,\xi) = T_-(x,\xi)(1-|r(z)|^2), \qquad z \in (0,\infty). \tag{6.9}$$

*Additionally, the following propositions hold:*

*i.* $\overline{T(\bar{z},\xi)} = T(z,\xi)^{-1} = T(z^{-1},\xi)$*;*

*ii.* $T(\infty,\xi) := \lim_{z\to\infty} T(z,\xi) = \left(\prod_{k\in\Delta}\bar{z}_k\right)\exp\left(\frac{1}{4\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{s}ds\right)$ *and* $|T(\infty,\xi)|^2 = 1$*;*

*iii.* $|T(z,\xi)| = 1$ *for* $z \le 0$*;*

*iv. As* $z \to \infty$ *we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$T(z,\xi) = T(\infty,\xi)\left(I - z^{-1}\left(\sum_{k\in\Delta} 2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(z_k) - \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \log(1-|r(s)|^2)ds\right) + o(z^{-1})\right); \quad (6.10)$$

*v. The ratio* $\frac{a(z)}{T(z,\xi)}$ *is holomorphic in* $\mathbb{C}_+$ *and there is a constant* $C(q_0)$ *s.t.*

$$\left|\frac{a(z)}{T(z,\xi)}\right| \le C(q_0) \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \text{ s.t. } \mathrm{Re}\, z > 0\,. \quad (6.11)$$

*Additionally, the ratio extends as a continuous function on* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *with* $|\frac{a(z)}{T(z,\xi)}| = 1$ *for* $z \in (0,\infty)$*.*

*Proof.* From (6.8) it's obvious that $T$ has simple zeros at each $z_k$ and poles at each $\bar{z}_k$, $k \in \Delta$. The jump relation (6.9) follows from the Plemelj formula. The first symmetry property follows immediately from the symmetry (4.11) of $r(z)$ . The second and third properties are simple computations, as is the fourth property, using Lemma 4.5 with $p = 1$. Finally, consider the ratio $\frac{a(z)}{T(z,\xi)}$. Using the representation (4.27) for $a(z)$ we can write

$$\frac{a(z)}{T(z,\xi)} = \Big(\prod_{j\in\Delta} z_j\Big) e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{2s}ds} \prod_{k\in\nabla}\left(\frac{z-z_k}{z-\bar{z}_k}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{s-z}ds\right). \quad (6.12)$$

In the r.h.s. all factors before the last one have absolute value $\le 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ while the real part of the exponential can be bounded as follows,

$$\frac{-\mathrm{Im}(z)}{2\pi}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0\right)\frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{(s-\mathrm{Re}(z))^2+\mathrm{Im}(z)^2}ds$$
$$\le \frac{4\,\mathrm{Im}(z)}{1+4\,\mathrm{Im}(z)^2}\|\log(1-|r|^2)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_-)} + 2^{-1}\|\log(1-|r|^2)\|_{L^\infty(-2^{-1},0)}$$

where we bound the 1st term of the r.h.s. using Lemma 4.5 and the 2nd using $\|r\|_{L^\infty(-2^{-1},0)} < 1$. Obviously the function in (6.12) extends in a continuous way to $\mathbb{R}_+$ where it has absolute value 1. □

We are now ready to implement the interpolations and conjugations discussed at the beginning of this section. Let $T(z) = T(z,\xi)$. We remove the poles by the following transformation which trades the poles for jumps

Figure 1: The contours defining the interpolating transformation $m \mapsto m^{(1)}$ (c.f. (6.13)). Around each of the poles $z_k \in \mathcal{Z}^+$ and its conjugate $\bar{z}_k \in \mathcal{Z}_-$ we insert a small disk, oriented counterclockwise in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and clockwise in $\mathbb{C}^-$, of fixed radius $\rho$ sufficiently small such that the disks intersect neither each other nor the real axis. The set $\Delta$ (resp. $\nabla$) consist of those poles to the right (resp. left) of the line $\operatorname{Re} z = \xi$. If a pair $z_{j_0}$, $\overline{z}_{j_0}$ lies within $\rho$ of the line $\operatorname{Re} z = \xi$ we leave that pair uninterpolated (left figure), otherwise all poles are interpolated (right figure). In either case, the singularity at the origin remains.

on small contours encircling each pole

$$m^{(1)}(z) = \begin{cases} T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} m(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_j e^{\Phi(z_j)}}{z - z_j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} T(z)^{\sigma_3}, & |z - z_j| < \rho,\ j \in \nabla \text{ and } |\operatorname{Re}(z_j) - \xi| > \rho, \\ T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} m(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{z - z_j}{c_j e^{\Phi(z_j)}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T(z)^{\sigma_3}, & |z - z_j| < \rho,\ j \in \Delta \text{ and } |\operatorname{Re}(z_j) - \xi| > \rho, \\ T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} m(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\bar{c}_j e^{-\Phi_j(\bar{z}_j)}}{z - \bar{z}_j} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} T(z)^{\sigma_3}, & |z - \bar{z}_j| < \rho,\ j \in \nabla \text{ and } |\operatorname{Re}(z_j) - \xi| > \rho, \\ T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} m(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{z - \bar{z}_j}{\bar{c}_j e^{-\Phi(\bar{z}_j)}} & 1 \end{pmatrix} T(z)^{\sigma_3}, & |z - \bar{z}_j| < \rho,\ j \in \Delta \text{ and } |\operatorname{Re}(z_j) - \xi| > \rho, \\ T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3} m(z) T(z)^{\sigma_3} & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{6.13}$$

Consider the following contour, depicted in Figure 1:

$$\Sigma^{(1)} = \mathbb{R} \cup \left( \bigcup_{\substack{j \in \nabla \cup \Delta \\ j \neq j_0(\xi)}} \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_j| = \rho \text{ or } |z - \bar{z}_j| = \rho \} \right). \tag{6.14}$$

Here, $\mathbb{R}$ is oriented left-to-right and the disk boundaries are oriented counterclockwise in $\mathbb{C}^+$ and clockwise in $\mathbb{C}^-$.

**Lemma 6.2.** *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for $m^{(1)}(z)$ resulting from* (6.13) *is RHP 6.1 formulated below. Furthermore, $m^{(1)}(z)$ satisfies the symmetries of Lemma 5.1.*

**Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.1** *Find a $2 \times 2$ matrix-valued function $m^{(1)}(z; x, t)$ such that*

1. *$m^{(1)}(z; x, t)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Sigma^{(1)}$.*

2. $m^{(1)}(z;x,t) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ *as* $z \to \infty$,
$zm^{(1)}(z;x,t) = \sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ *as* $z \to 0$.

3. *The non-tangential boundary values* $m_{\pm}^{(1)}(z;x,t)$ *exist for* $z \in \Sigma^{(1)}$, *and satisfy the jump relation* $m_+(z;x,t) = m_-(z;x,t)V^{(1)}(z)$ *where*

$$V^{(1)}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{r(z)}T(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ r(z)T(z)^2e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & z \in (-\infty, 0) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{r(z)}{1-|r(z)|^2}T_-(z)^2e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{-\overline{r(z)}}{1-|r(z)|^2}T_+(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & z \in (0, \infty) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_j}{z-z_j}T(z)^2e^{\Phi(z_j)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z - z_j| = \rho,\ j \in \nabla \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{z-z_j}{c_j}T(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_j)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z - z_j| = \rho,\ j \in \Delta \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\overline{c_j}}{z-\overline{z_j}}T(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi(\overline{z}_j)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z - \overline{z}_j| = \rho,\ j \in \nabla \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{z-\overline{z_j}}{\overline{c_j}}T(z)^2e^{\Phi(\overline{z}_j)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z - \overline{z}_j| = \rho,\ j \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

4. *If* $(x,t)$ *are such that there exist (at most one)* $j_0 \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ *such that* $|\operatorname{Re} z_{j_0} - \xi| \le \rho$, $\xi = \frac{x}{2t}$, *then* $m^{(1)}(z;x,t)$ *has simple poles at the points* $z_{j_0}, \overline{z}_{j_0} \in \mathcal{Z}$ *satisfying one of the following alternatives:*

   *(a) If* $j_0 \in \nabla$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z \to z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_{j_0}T(z_{j_0})^2e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \operatorname*{Res}_{\overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z \to \overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{c}_{j_0}\overline{T}(z_{j_0})^2e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.15a}$$

   *(b) If* $j_0 \in \Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z \to z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{j_0}^{-1}T'(z_{j_0})^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \operatorname*{Res}_{\overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z \to \overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \overline{c}_{j_0}^{-1}\overline{T'}(z_{j_0})^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.15b}$$

   *Otherwise,* $m^{(1)}$*(z;x,t) is analytic in* $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma^{(1)}$.

*Remark* 6.3. The function $T(z,\xi)$ and the transformation $m \mapsto m^{(1)}$ defined by (6.13) can be thought of in two parts. In the first step the Blaschke product in $T$ swaps the columns in which the poles $z_j,\ j \in \Delta$, appear and gives new connection coefficient proportional to $c_j(x,t)^{-1}$ as desired. The triangular factors in (6.13) then interpolate the poles trading them for jumps on the disk boundaries $|z - z_j| = \rho$. In the second step,

the Cauchy integral term in $T$ is responsible for removing the diagonal factor (6.3) from the jump matrix factorization $V = BT_0B^{-\dagger}$ (cf. (6.1)) on the half-line $(0,\infty)$. Finally, we point out that factors $(zz_k - 1)$ in the Blaschke product—instead of simply $(z - \overline{z}_k)$—and the $\frac{1}{2s}$ term in the Cauchy integral are introduced so that $T$ satisfies property $i.$ in Lemma 6.1 which is needed to preserve the symmetries in Lemma 5.1.

*Proof of Lemma 6.2.* The proof consists of a lengthy but elementary series of computations which we sketch only partially. First of all we start with the symmetries of Lemma 5.1. For instance, the region outside the disks in (6.13) is invariant by the transformations $z \to \overline{z}$ and $z \to z^{-1}$. We have

$$\overline{m^{(1)}}(\overline{z}) = \overline{T}(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}\overline{m}(\overline{z})\overline{T}(\overline{z})^{\sigma_3} = T(\infty)^{\sigma_3}\sigma_1 m(z)\sigma_1 T(z)^{-\sigma_3} = \sigma_1 m^{(1)}(z)\sigma_1$$

and

$$m^{(1)}(z^{-1}) = T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}m(z^{-1})T(z^{-1})^{\sigma_3} = zT(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}m(z)\sigma_1 T(z)^{-\sigma_3} = zm^{(1)}(z)\sigma_1,$$

where we have used the symmetries of $m(z)$ and of $T(z)$. Using also the symmetries of $\Phi(z)$ these equalities can be similarly extended on the whole domain of $m^{(1)}(z)$.

While Claim 1 and the 1st equality in Claim 2 in RHP 6.1 are obvious consequences of the corresponding ones in RHP 5.1, the 2nd equality in Claim 2 follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &zm^{(1)}(z) = T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}zm(z)T(z)^{\sigma_3} = T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}(\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z))T(z^{-1})^{-\sigma_3} \\ &= T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}(\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z))(T(\infty) + \mathcal{O}(z))^{-\sigma_3} = \sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the symmetry and the expansion $T(z) = T(\infty) + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ as $z \to \infty$ in Claims $i$ and $iv$ of Lemma 6.1 respectively.

We skip the proof of Claim 3 which is an immediate consequence of (6.13) and of Claim 3 in RHP 5.1. The proof of the 1st limit in (6.15a) follows immediately from

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z) = \operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}m(z)T(z)^{\sigma_3} = \\ &\lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}m(z)T(z)^{\sigma_3}T(z)^{-\sigma_3}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_{j_0}e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}T(z)^{\sigma_3} = \lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_{j_0}T(z_{j_0})^2e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We now turn to the 1st limit in (6.15b). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(1)}(z) = \lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} (z - z_{j_0})T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}\left(\frac{m_1^-(z)T(z)}{a(z)}, \frac{m_2^+(z)}{T(z)}\right) = T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}\left(0, \frac{m_2^+(z_{j_0})}{T'(z_{j_0})}\right) \\ &= \lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} T(\infty)^{-\sigma_3}\left(\frac{m_1^-(z)T(z)}{a(z)}, \frac{m_2^+(z)}{T(z)}\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{j_0}^{-1}T'(z_{j_0})^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which yields the 1st limit in (6.15b). In the last equality we've used the fact that

$$m_2^+(z_{j_0})T'(z_{j_0})^{-1} = \gamma_{j_0}^{-1}(0)T'(z_{j_0})^{-1}e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})}m_1^-(z_{j_0}) = a'(z_{j_0})^{-1}c_{j_0}^{-1}T'(z_{j_0})^{-1}e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})}m_1^-(z)$$

which follows from (4.24), (4.29) and (5.7). The limits in the 2nd lines of (6.15a)–(6.15b) follow from the symmetry (5.2a), which is satisfied by $m^{(1)}(z)$.

□

## 6.2 Step 2: opening $\overline{\partial}$ lenses

We now want to remove the jump from the real axis in such a way that the new problem takes advantage of the decay/growth of $\exp(\Phi(z))$ for $z \notin \mathbb{R}$. Additionally we want to "open the lens" in such a way that the lenses are bounded away from the disks introduced previously to remove the poles from the problem.

To that end, fix an angle $\theta_0 > 0$ sufficiently small such that the set $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \left|\frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{z}\right| > \cos\theta_0\}$ does not intersect any of the disks $|z - z_k| \le \rho$. For any $\xi \in (-1, 1)$, let

$$\phi(\xi) = \min\left\{\theta_0,\ \arccos\left(\frac{2|\xi|}{1+|\xi|}\right)\right\},$$

and define $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{4} \Omega_k$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &= \{z : \arg z \in (0, \phi(\xi))\}, & \Omega_2 &= \{z : \arg z \in (\pi - \phi(\xi), \pi)\}, \\ \Omega_3 &= \{z : \arg z \in (-\pi, -\pi + \phi(\xi))\}, & \Omega_4 &= \{z : \arg z \in (-\phi(\xi), 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= e^{\mathrm{i}\phi(\xi)}\mathbb{R}_+, & \Sigma_2 &= e^{\mathrm{i}(\pi-\phi(\xi))}\mathbb{R}_+ \\ \Sigma_3 &= e^{-\mathrm{i}(\pi-\phi(\xi))}\mathbb{R}_+, & \Sigma_4 &= e^{-\mathrm{i}\phi(\xi)}\mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned}$$

the left-to-right oriented boundaries of $\Omega$, see Figure 2.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Set $\xi := \frac{x}{2t}$ and let $|\xi| < 1$. Then for $z = |z|e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}$ and $F(s) = s + s^{-1}$, the phase $\Phi$ defined in* (5.5) *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[\Phi(z; x, t)] &\ge \frac{t}{4}(1 - |\xi|)F(|z|)^2 |\sin 2\theta| \quad \textit{for } z \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_3, \\ \operatorname{Re}[\Phi(z; x, t)] &\le -\frac{t}{4}(1 - |\xi|)F(|z|)^2 |\sin 2\theta| \quad \textit{for } z \in \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_4. \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

*Proof.* We will consider only the case $z \in \Omega_1$. By elementary computation we have

$$\operatorname{Re}[\Phi(z; x, t)] = t\,\psi(z)\sin 2\theta \quad \text{with} \quad \psi(z) = F(|z|)^2 - \xi F(|z|)\sec\theta - 2. \tag{6.17}$$

Then, observing that $F(|z|) \ge 2$, we have for $z \in \Omega_1$,

$$\psi(z) \ge F(|z|)^2 - \frac{1+|\xi|}{2}F(|z|) - 2 \ge \frac{1-|\xi|}{4}F(|z|)^2$$

so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\Phi(z; x, t) \ge \frac{t}{4}(1 - |\xi|)F(|z|)^2 \sin 2\theta.$$

□ □

The estimates suggest that we should open lenses using (modified versions of) factorization (6.2) for $z < 0$ and (6.3) for $z > 0$. To do so, we need to define extensions of the off-diagonal entries of $b(z)$ and $B(z)$ off the real axis, which is the content of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + L^{1,3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $q_0' \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then it is possible to define functions $R_j : \overline{\Omega}_j \to \mathbb{C}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, continuous on $\overline{\Omega_j}$, with continuous first partials on $\Omega_j$, and boundary values*

$$\begin{cases} R_1(z) = \frac{\overline{r(z)}T_+(z)^{-2}}{1-|r(z)|^2} & z \in (0,\infty) \\ R_1(z) = 0 & z \in \Sigma_1 \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} R_2(z) = r(z)T(z)^2 & z \in (-\infty, 0) \\ R_2(z) = 0 & z \in \Sigma_2 \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} R_3(z) = \overline{r(z)}T(z)^{-2} & z \in (-\infty, 0) \\ R_3(z) = 0 & z \in \Sigma_3 \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} R_4(z) = \frac{r(z)T_-(z)^2}{1-|r(z)|^2} & z \in (0,\infty) \\ R_4(z) = 0 & z \in \Sigma_4 \end{cases}$$

*such that for $j = 1$ and $4$; a fixed constant $c_1 = c_1(q_0)$; and a fixed cutoff function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ with small support near $1$; we have*

$$\left|\overline{\partial} R_j(z)\right| \le c_1|z|^{-1/2} + c_1|r'(|z|)| + c_1\varphi(|z|) \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_j \text{ and} \tag{6.18}$$
$$\left|\overline{\partial} R_j(z)\right| \le c_1|z-1| \text{ for all } z \in \Omega_j \text{ in a small fixed neighborhood of 1} \tag{6.19}$$

*while for $j = 2, 3$ we have* (6.18) *with $|z|$ replaced by $-|z|$ in the argument of $r'$ and without the term $c_1\varphi(|z|)$.*

*Setting $R : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ by $R(z)\Big|_{z\in\Omega_j} = R_j(z)$, the extension can preserve the symmetry $R(z) = \overline{R(\overline{z^{-1}})}$.*

*Proof.* We will give the details of the proof for $R_1$. The estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-derivative for $j = 4$ are nearly identical to the case $j = 1$; the definitions of $R_2$ and $R_3$ and their $\overline{\partial}$ estimates are similar and are a simpler version of [21, Proposition 2.1].

As observed in (4.15)-(4.16), $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are singular at $z = \pm 1$, and $r(z) \to \mp 1$ as $z \to \pm 1$. This suggests that $R_1(z)$ is singular at $z = 1$. However, the singular behavior is exactly balanced by the factor $T(z)^{-2}$. From (4.7)-(4.9) we have

$$\frac{\overline{r(z)}}{1-|r(z)|^2}T_+(z)^{-2} = \frac{\overline{b(z)}}{a(z)}\left(\frac{a(z)}{T_+(z)}\right)^2 = \frac{\overline{J_b(z)}}{J_a(z)}\left(\frac{a(z)}{T_+(z)}\right)^2, \tag{6.20}$$

where we have temporarily introduced the notation

$$J_b(z) = \det\left[\psi_1^+(z;x,t), \psi_1^-(z;x,t)\right], \qquad J_a(z) = \det\left[\psi_1^-(z;x,t), \psi_2^+(z;x,t)\right]. \tag{6.21}$$

Recall that though the columns of the right/left normalized Jost functions, $\psi_j^\pm(z;x,t)$, $j = 1, 2$, depend on $x$, the determinants are independent of $x$ as $\operatorname{Tr}\mathcal{L} = 0$. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 6.1, the denominator of each factor in the r.h.s. of (6.20) is non-zero and analytic in $\Omega_1$, with a well defined nonzero limit on $\partial\Omega_1$. Notice also that in $\Omega_1$ away from the point $z = 1$ the factors in the l.h.s. of (6.20) are well behaved.

We introduce cutoff functions $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ with small support near 0 and 1 respectively, such that for any sufficiently small real $s$, $\chi_0(s) = 1 = \chi_1(1+s)$. Additionally, we impose the condition that $\chi_1(s) =$

$\chi_1(s^{-1})$ to preserve symmetry. We then rewrite the function $R_1(z)$ in $\mathbb{R}_+$ as $R_1(z) = R_{11}(z) + R_{12}(z)$ with

$$R_{11}(z) := (1-\chi_1(z))\frac{\overline{r(z)}}{1-|r(z)|^2}T_+(z)^{-2}\,, \quad R_{12}(z) := \chi_1(z)\frac{\overline{J_b(z)}}{J_a(z)}\left(\frac{a(z)}{T_+(z)}\right)^2. \tag{6.22}$$

The purpose of (6.22) is to neutralize the effect of the singularity at 1 due to $|r(1)| = 1$. Fix a small $\delta_0 > 0$. Then extend the functions $R_{11}$ and $R_{12}$ in $\Omega_1$ by

$$R_{11}(z) = (1-\chi_1(|z|))\,\frac{\overline{r(|z|)}}{1-|r(|z|)|^2}T(z)^{-2}\cos(k\arg z)\,, \tag{6.23}$$

$$R_{12}(z) = f(|z|)g(z)\cos(k\arg z) + \frac{\mathrm{i}|z|}{k}\chi_0\left(\frac{\arg z}{\delta_0}\right)f'(|z|)g(z)\sin(k\arg(z)) \tag{6.24}$$

where $f'(s)$ is the derivative of $f(s)$ and

$$k := \frac{\pi}{2\theta_0}\,, \quad g(z) := \left(\frac{a(z)}{T(z)}\right)^2, \quad f(s) := \chi_1(s)\frac{\overline{J_b(s)}}{J_a(s)}.$$

Both extensions are similar to Prop. 2.1 [21], but (6.24) is somewhat more elaborate. Observe that the definition of $R_1$ above preserves the symmetry $R_1(s) = \overline{R_1(\overline{s^{-1}})}$. Aided by the symmetry conditions (4.11), (4.5), Claim $i$ of Lemma 6.1, and $\chi_1(s) = \chi_1(s^{-1})$ one shows that $R_{11}$, $f$, and $g$ satisfy the desired symmetry; the rest is a trivial exercise.

We now bound the $\overline{\partial}$ derivatives of (6.23)–(6.24). We have

$$\overline{\partial}R_{11}(z) = -\frac{\overline{\partial}\chi_1(|z|)}{T(z)^2}\,\frac{\overline{r(|z|)}\cos(k\arg z)}{1-|r(|z|)|^2} + \frac{1-\chi_1(|z|)}{T(z)^2}\,\overline{\partial}\left(\frac{\overline{r(|z|)}\cos(k\arg z)}{1-|r(|z|)|^2}\right). \tag{6.25}$$

Observe that $1-|r(|z|)|^2 > c > 0$ in $\mathrm{supp}(1-\chi_1(|z|))$ and $|T(z)^{-2}| \le Ce^{-\log c}$ in $\Omega_1 \cap \mathrm{supp}(1-\chi_1(|z|))$ for some fixed constants $c$ and $C$. Then for some new fixed constant $C$ we have

$$\left|\overline{\partial}\frac{\overline{r(|z|)}\cos(k\arg z)}{1-|r(|z|)|^2}\right| \le C|r'(|z|)| + C\,|\sin(k\arg z)|\,\frac{|r(|z|)|}{|z|}.$$

As $r(0) = 0$ it follows that $|r(|z|)| \le \sqrt{|z|}\|r'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. Notice also that the first term in the r.h.s. of (6.25) can be bounded by $c_1\varphi(|z|)$ for an appropriate $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$ with a small support near 1 and with $\varphi = 1$ on $\mathrm{supp}\chi_1$. It follows that the r.h.s. of (6.25) satisfies (6.18) .

We turn now to $\overline{\partial}R_{12}$. For $z = u + \mathrm{i}v = \rho e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}$ we have $\overline{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_u + \mathrm{i}\partial_v) = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}}{2}\left(\partial_\rho + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\rho}\partial_\phi\right)$. Then

$$\overline{\partial}R_{12}(z) = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\phi}g(z)}{2}\Bigg[f'(\rho)\cos(k\phi)\left(1-\chi_0\left(\frac{\phi}{\delta_0}\right)\right) - \frac{\mathrm{i}kf(\rho)}{\rho}\sin(k\phi)$$
$$+ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{k}(\rho f'(\rho))'\sin(k\phi)\chi_0\left(\frac{\phi}{\delta_0}\right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{k\delta_0}f'(\rho)\sin(k\phi)\chi_0'\left(\frac{\phi}{\delta_0}\right)\Bigg]$$




Figure 2: The unknown $m^{(2)}(z)$ defined by (6.26) has nonzero $\overline{\partial}$ derivatives in the regions $\Omega_j$, and jump discontinuities on the disk boundaries $|z - z_j| = \rho$. The dashed boundaries of $\Omega_j$ indicate that $m^{(2)}$ is continuous at these boundaries.

where the 1st term in the bracket is obtained by applying $\partial_\rho$ to $f(\rho)$ in the 1st term in (6.24) and $\mathrm{i}\rho^{-1}\partial_\phi$ to $\sin(k\phi)$ in the 2nd term of (6.24).

Then we claim $|\overline{\partial} R_{12}(z)| \leq c_1 \varphi(|z|)$ for a $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ supported near 1, thus yielding (6.18). The prefactor including $g(z)$ is bounded by (6.11). The first, third, and fourth terms in the brackets are bounded by observing that, for $q$ satisfying the hypotheses of the Lemma, we have $\overline{J_b(s)}/J_a(s) \in W^{\infty,2}(\mathbb{R})$—this follows from a small modification of Lemma 4.4 where the extra moment is needed for second derivatives in the term $(\rho f'(\rho))'$ appearing in the expression for $\overline{\partial} R_{12}$ above (c.f. (4.19)). The second term is bounded because $\operatorname{supp} \chi_1$ is bounded away from zero. Finally, for $z \sim 1$, $|\overline{\partial} R_{12}| \leq C\,[\,|\sin(k\phi)| + (1 - \chi_0(\phi/\delta_0)\,] = \mathcal{O}(\phi)$, from which (6.19) follows immediately.

□

We use the extensions of Lemma 6.5 to define modified versions of the factorizations (6.1) which extend into the lenses $\Omega_j$. We have on the real axis

$$V^{(1)}(z) = \widehat{b}^{-\dagger}(z)\widehat{b}(z) = \widehat{B}(z)\widehat{B}^{-\dagger}(z)$$

where

$$\widehat{b}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_2(z)e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widehat{b}^{\dagger}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R_3(z)e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\widehat{B}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ R_4(z)e^{\Phi} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widehat{B}^{\dagger}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & R_1(z)e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use these to define a new unknown

$$m^{(2)}(z) = \begin{cases} m^{(1)}(z)\widehat{B}^{\dagger}(z) & z \in \Omega_1 \\ m^{(1)}(z)\widehat{b}(z)^{-1} & z \in \Omega_2 \\ m^{(1)}(z)\widehat{b}(z)^{-\dagger}(z) & z \in \Omega_3 \\ m^{(1)}(z)\widehat{B}(z) & z \in \Omega_4 \\ m^{(1)}(z) & z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Omega}. \end{cases} \tag{6.26}$$

Let

$$\Sigma^{(2)} = \bigcup_{\substack{j \in \nabla \cup \Delta \\ j \neq j_0(\xi)}} \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,:\, |z - z_j| = \rho \text{ or } |z - \overline{z}_j| = \rho\} \tag{6.27}$$

be the union of the circular boundaries of each interpolation disk oriented as in $\Sigma^{(1)}$. It is an immediate consequence of (6.26) and Lemmas 6.2 and 6.5 that $m^{(2)}$ satisfies the following $\overline{\partial}$–Riemann-Hilbert problem.

**$\overline{\partial}$–Riemann-Hilbert Problem 6.2** *Find a $2\times 2$ matrix-valued function $m^{(2)}(z;x,t)$ such that*

1. *$m^{(2)}$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma^{(2)}$ and takes continuous boundary values $m^{(2)}_+(z;x,t)$ (respectively $m^{(2)}_-(z;x,t)$) on $\Sigma^{(2)}$ from the left (respectively right).*
2. *$m^{(2)}(z;x,t) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ as $z\to\infty$,*
   *$zm^{(2)}(z;x,t) = \sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ as $z\to 0$.*
3. *The boundary values are connected by the jump relation $m^{(2)}_+(z;x,t) = m^{(2)}_-(z;x,t)V^{(2)}(z)$ where*

$$V^{(2)}(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_j}{z-z_j}T(z)^2 e^{\Phi(z_j)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z-z_j| = \rho,\ j\in\nabla \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{z-z_j}{c_j}T(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_j)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z-z_j| = \rho,\ j\in\Delta \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\bar{c}_j}{z-\bar{z}_j}T(z)^{-2}e^{\Phi(z_j)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z-\bar{z}_j| = \rho,\ j\in\nabla \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{z-\bar{z}_j}{\bar{c}_j}T(z)^2 e^{-\Phi(z_j)} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & |z-\bar{z}_j| = \rho,\ j\in\Delta. \end{cases} \tag{6.28}$$

4. *For $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\overline{\partial} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) = m^{(2)}(z;x,t)W(z) \tag{6.29}$$

*where*

$$W(z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\partial}R_1(z)e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & z\in\Omega_1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\overline{\partial}R_2(z)e^{\Phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & z\in\Omega_2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\overline{\partial}R_3(z)e^{-\Phi} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & z\in\Omega_3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \overline{\partial}R_4(z)e^{\Phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & z\in\Omega_4 \\ \mathbf{0} & z \text{ elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

5. *$m^{(2)}(z;x,t)$ is analytic in the region $\mathbb{C}\backslash(\overline{\Omega}\cup\Sigma^{(2)})$ if $j_0 = -1$. If $(x,t)$ are such that there exists $j_0\in\{0,\dots,N-1\}$ such that $|\operatorname{Re} z_{j_0} - \xi| \le \rho$, $\xi = \frac{x}{2t}$, then $m^{(2)}(z;x,t)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash(\overline{\Omega}\cup\Sigma^{(2)})$ with exactly two poles, which are simple, at the points $z_{j_0}, \bar{z}_{j_0}\in\mathcal{Z}$ satisfying one of the following cases.*

*(a) If $j_0 \in \nabla$, letting $C_{j_0} = c_{j_0} T(z_{j_0})^2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_{j_0} e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\operatorname*{Res}_{\overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to \overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{C}_{j_0} e^{\Phi(z_{j_0})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

*(b) If $j_0 \in \Delta$, letting $C_{j_0} := c_{j_0}^{-1} T'(z_{j_0})^{-2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname*{Res}_{z_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to z_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C_{j_0} e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\operatorname*{Res}_{\overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) &= \lim_{z\to \overline{z}_{j_0}} m^{(2)}(z;x,t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \overline{C}_{j_0} e^{-\Phi(z_{j_0})} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

## 6.3 Step 3: removing the poles; the asymptotic N-soliton solution

Our next step is to remove the Riemann-Hilbert component of the solution, so that all that remains is a new unknown with nonzero $\overline{\partial}$-derivatives in $\Omega$, and is otherwise bounded and approaching identity for $|z| \to \infty$. Once this is complete, the remaining problem is analyzed using the "small-norm" theory for the solid Cauchy operator. This is done in the following section, Section 6.4.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let $m^{(sol)}$ denote the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem which results from simply ignoring the $\overline{\partial}$ component of RHP 6.2, that is, let*

$$m^{(sol)}(z) \text{ solves } \overline{\partial}\text{–RHP 6.2 with } W \equiv 0.$$

*For any admissible scattering data $\{r(z), \{z_j, c_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\}$ in RHP 6.2, the solution $m^{(sol)}$ of this modified problem exists, and is equivalent, by an explicit transformation, to a reflectionless solution of the original Riemann Hilbert problem, RHP 5.1, with the modified scattering data $\{0, \{z_j, \widetilde{c}_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\}$ where, the modified connection coefficients $\widetilde{c}_j$ are given by*

$$\widetilde{c}_j(x,t) = c_j(x,t) \exp\left( -\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\pi} \int_0^\infty \log(1-|r(s)|^2) \left( \frac{1}{s-z_j} - \frac{1}{2s} \right) ds \right). \tag{6.32}$$

*where $r(s)$ is the reflection coefficient, generated by the initial datum $q_0(x)$, given in RHP 6.2*

*Proof.* With $W \equiv 0$, the $\overline{\partial}$-RHP for $m^{(sol)}$ reduces to a Riemann Hilbert problem for a sectionally meromorphic function with jump discontinuities on the union of circles $\Sigma^{(2)}$, see (6.27). The following transformation contracts each of the circular jumps so that the result $\widetilde{m}(z)$ has simple poles at each $z_k$ or $\overline{z}_k$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, and reverses the triangularity effected by (6.8) and (6.13):

$$\widetilde{m}(z) = \left[ \prod_{k\in\Delta} \left( \frac{1}{z_k} \right) \right]^{\sigma_3} m^{(sol)}(z) F(z) \left[ \prod_{k\in\Delta} \left( \frac{z-z_k}{zz_k - 1} \right) \right]^{-\sigma_3}, \tag{6.33}$$

where

$$F(z)=\begin{cases}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \frac{c_j}{z-z_j}T(z)^2e^{\Phi(z_j)} & 1\end{pmatrix} & |z-z_j|=\rho,\ j\in\nabla\\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac{z-z_j}{c_j}T(z)^{-2}e^{-\Phi(z_j)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} & |z-z_j|=\rho,\ j\in\Delta\\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac{\bar{c}_j}{z-\bar{z}_j}T(z)^{-2}e^{\Phi(z_j)}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} & |z-\bar{z}_j|=\rho,\ j\in\nabla\\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \frac{z-\bar{z}_j}{\bar{c}_j}T(z)^2e^{-\Phi(z_j)} & 1\end{pmatrix} & |z-\bar{z}_j|=\rho,\ j\in\Delta.\end{cases}$$

Clearly, the transformation to $\widetilde{m}$ preserves the normalization conditions at the origin and infinity. Comparing (6.33) to (6.28) it is clear that the new unknown $\widetilde{m}$ has no jumps. From (6.8), RHP 6.2, and (6.33) it follows that $\widetilde{m}(z)$ has simple poles at each of the points in $\mathcal{Z}$, the discrete spectrum of the original Riemann Hilbert problem, RHP 5.1. A straightforward calculation shows that the residues satisfy (5.6), but with (5.7) replaced by (6.32). Thus, $\widetilde{m}(z)$ is precisely the solution of RHP 5.1 with scattering data $\{\{z_k,\widetilde{c}_k\}_{k=0}^{N-1}, r\equiv 0\}$. The symmetry $r(s^{-1})=\overline{r(s)},\ s\in\mathbb{R}$, implies that the argument of the exponential in (6.32) is purely real so that the perturbed connection coefficients maintain the reality condition $\widetilde{c}_j=\mathrm{i}z_j|\widetilde{c}_j|$. Thus, $m^{(sol)}$ is the solution of RHP 5.1 corresponding to an $N$-soliton, reflectionless, potential $\widetilde{q}(x,t)$ which generates the same discrete spectrum $\mathcal{Z}$ as our initial data, but whose connection coefficients (6.32) are perturbations of those for the original initial data by an amount related to the reflection coefficient of the initial data. The solution of this discrete RHP is a rational function of $z$, whose (unique) exact solution always exists and can be obtained as described in Appendix A. □

As claimed above and proven in Appendix A, the RHP for $m^{(sol)}$ can be solved exactly in closed form, but we will instead give the solution using the small norm theory of Riemann-Hilbert problems, see Appendix B [32], as this more naturally leads to the asymptotic form of the solution for $t\gg 1$.

The Riemann Hilbert problem for $m^{(sol)}$ is ideally set up for asymptotic analysis. The jump matrix $V^{(2)}(z)$ satisfies

$$\|V^{(2)}-I\|_{L^p(\Sigma^{(2)})}\le K_p\sup_{j\in\nabla\cup\Delta}e^{-Ct\,\mathrm{Im}\,z_k\ |\xi-\mathrm{Re}\,z_j|}\le K_pe^{-C\rho^2t},\quad 1\le p\le\infty,\tag{6.34}$$

for some constant $K_p\ge 0$ independent of $(x,t)$. This implies that the jump matrices do not meaningfully, contribute to the asymptotic behavior of the solution. Instead, the dominant contribution to the solution comes from the simple poles of $m^{(sol)}$; those at $z=0$ and, if the critical line $\mathrm{Re}\,z=\xi$ is passing through the neighborhood of one of the discrete spectra $z_j\in\mathcal{Z}$ of the original problem RHP 5.1, those at $z_{j_0}$ and $\bar{z}_{j_0}$. Indeed, the following lemma describes this further simplification of $m^{(sol)}$ explicitly.

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let $\xi=\frac{x}{2t}$ and let $j_0=j_0(\xi)\in\{-1,0,1,\dots,N-1\}$, be defined by* (6.7)*. Suppose*

$$m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\ \textit{solves RHP 6.2 with}\ W(z)\equiv 0\ \textit{and}\ V^{(2)}\equiv I.\tag{6.35}$$

*Then, for any $(x,t)$ such that $|x/t|<2$ and $t\gg 1$, uniformly for $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$m^{(sol)}(z)=m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\left[I+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\rho^2t}\right)\right],$$

*and, in particular, for large $z$ we have*

$$m^{(sol)}(z) = m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\left[I + z^{-1}\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\rho^2 t}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right)\right]. \tag{6.36}$$

*Moreover, the unique solution $m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)$ to the above Riemann Hilbert problem,* (6.35)*, is as follows:*

*i. if $j_0(\xi) = -1$, then all the $z_j$ are away from the critical line and*

$$m_0^{(sol)}(z) = I + z^{-1}\sigma_1; \tag{6.37a}$$

*ii. if $j_0(\xi) \in \nabla$, then*

$$\begin{gathered} m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z) = I + \frac{\sigma_1}{z} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{j_0}^{\nabla}(x,t)}{z-z_{j_0}} & \frac{\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}}(x,t)}{z-\overline{z}_{j_0}} \\ \frac{\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}(x,t)}{z-z_{j_0}} & \frac{\overline{\alpha_{j_0}^{\nabla}}(x,t)}{z-\overline{z}_{j_0}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha_{j_0}^{\nabla}(x,t) = -z_{j_0}\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}}(x,t), \qquad \beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}(x,t) = \frac{2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})z_{j_0}e^{-2\varphi_{j_0}}}{1+e^{-2\varphi_{j_0}}}; \end{gathered} \tag{6.37b}$$

*iii. if $j_0(\xi) \in \Delta$, then*

$$\begin{gathered} m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z) = I + \frac{\sigma_1}{z} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{j_0}^{\Delta}(x,t)}{z-\overline{z}_{j_0}} & \frac{\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}}(x,t)}{z-z_{j_0}} \\ \frac{\beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}(x,t)}{z-\overline{z}_{j_0}} & \frac{\overline{\alpha_{j_0}^{\Delta}}(x,t)}{z-z_{j_0}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha_{j_0}^{\Delta}(x,t) = -\overline{z}_{j_0}\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}}(x,t), \qquad \beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}(x,t) = -\frac{2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})\overline{z}_{j_0}e^{2\varphi_{j_0}}}{1+e^{2\varphi_{j_0}}}. \end{gathered} \tag{6.37c}$$

*In cases ii. and iii. the real phase $\varphi_{j_0}$ is given by*

$$\begin{gathered} \varphi_{j_0} = \mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})(x - 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_{j_0})t - x_{j_0}) \\ x_{j_0} = \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})}\left(\log\left(\frac{|c_{j_0}|}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})}\prod_{\substack{k\in\Delta\\k\neq j_0}}\left|\frac{z_{j_0}-z_k}{z_{j_0}z_k-1}\right|^2\right) - \frac{\mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{|s-z_{j_0}|^2}ds\right). \end{gathered} \tag{6.37d}$$

*Proof.* We begin by proving that (6.37) solves (6.35). The assumption that $V \equiv I$ and $W \equiv 0$ implies that $m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)$ is meromorphic with simple poles at $z = 0$ and, if $j_0 \neq -1$, at both $z_{j_0}$ and $\overline{z}_{j_0}$. If $j_0 = -1$, then (6.37a) is an immediate consequence of the condition 2 in RHP 6.2 and Liouville's theorem. For $j \neq -1$, observe that $C_0 := c_{j_0}T(z_{j_0})^2$ satisfies $C_0 = \mathrm{i}z_{j_0}|C_0|$ since $c_{j_0} = \mathrm{i}z_{j_0}|c_{j_0}|$ and $T(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $|z| = 1$,which follows from claim $i.$ in Lemma 6.1. For $j_0 \in \nabla$, this means that the RHP for $m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)$, is equivalent to the reflectionless, i.e., $r = 0$, version of RHP 5.1 with poles at the origin and at the points $z_{j_0}$ and $\overline{z_{j_0}}$ with associated connection coefficient $C_0$. Then the symmetries (5.2a)-(5.2b) inherited by $m_{j_0}^{(sol)}$ and (6.30) imply that $\alpha_{j_0}^{\nabla} = -z_{j_0}\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}}$ and

$$m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z) = I + \frac{\sigma_1}{z} + \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}} & \beta_{j_0}^{\nabla} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -z_{j_0}(z-z_{j_0})^{-1} & (z-\overline{z}_{j_0})^{-1} \\ (z-z_{j_0})^{-1} & -\overline{z}_{j_0}(z-\overline{z}_{j_0})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The residue conditions (6.30) then yield four linearly dependant equations for the single unknown $\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}$, each equivalent to $\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla} = C_0(1 - \overline{z}_{j_0}\beta_{j_0}^{\nabla}(z_{j_0} - \overline{z_{j_0}})^{-1})$, which gives (6.37b) upon setting $\frac{|C_0|}{2\,\mathrm{Im}(z_j)} = e^{-2\varphi_{j_0}}$.

For $j \in \Delta$, the computation is similar, but the new pole conditions (6.31) exchanges the columns in which the two poles occur; we have $\alpha_{j_0}^{\Delta} = -\overline{z_{j_0}}\,\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}}$ and

$$m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z) = I + \frac{\sigma_1}{z} + \begin{pmatrix}\overline{\beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}} & \beta_{j_0}^{\Delta}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}-\overline{z}_{j_0}(z-\overline{z}_{j_0})^{-1} & (z-z_{j_0})^{-1} \\ (z-\overline{z}_{j_0})^{-1} & -z_{j_0}(z-z_{j_0})^{-1}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then residue relation (6.31) leads to one linearly independent equation which can be solved trivially yielding the second line of (6.37c).

Now we show that $m_{j_0}^{(sol)}$ gives the leading order behavior to $m^{(sol)}$ for $t \gg 1$. The ratio $m^{(err)}(z) = m^{(sol)}(z)\left(m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1}$ has no poles (the computation proving this is identical to (6.41)-(6.42) below) and its jump matrix $V^{(err)}(z) = \left(m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\right)V^{(2)}(z)\left(m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1}$ satisfies the same estimate as in (6.34) since $\left|m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z) - I - \frac{\sigma_1}{z}\right| = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\rho^2 t}\right)$ for $z \in \Sigma^{(2)}$.

It then follows from the small norm theory for Riemann Hilbert problems, [32, Appendix B] [15, Appendix A], that

$$m^{(sol)}(z) = m_{j_0}^{(sol)}(z)\left[I + \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\Sigma^{(2)}}\frac{(I+\mu(s))(V^{(err)}(s)-I)}{s-z}ds\right]$$

where $\mu \in L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})$ is the unique solution of $(1 - C_{V^{(err)}})\mu = C_{V^{(err)}}I$, where $C_{V^{(err)}} : L^2(\Sigma^{(2)}) \to L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})$ is the Cauchy projection operator

$$C_{V^{(err)}}[f](z) = C_-[f(V^{(err)} - I)] = \lim_{z' \to z}\int_{\Sigma^{(2)}}\frac{f(s)(V^{(err)}(s)-I)}{s-z'}ds$$

where the limit is understood (possibly in the $L^2$ sense) to be taken non-tangentially from the minus (right) side of the oriented contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$. Existence and uniqueness of $\mu$ follows from the boundedness of the Cauchy projection operator $C_-$, which immediately implies

$$\|C_{V^{(err)}}\|_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})\to L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\rho^2 t}\right).$$

□

*Remark* 6.8. The different formulae for $m_j^{(sol)}(z)$ for $j \in \nabla$ or $j \in \Delta$ in Lemma 6.7 is an artifact of the conjugation by $T(z)$ in (6.13) which transforms exponentially growing pole residues into decaying residues. As is shown below, near the line $x = 2t\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j)$ the dominant contribution to $m(z)$ the solution of the original Riemann Hilbert problem is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} q_j^{(sol)}(x,t) &\equiv T(\infty,\xi)^{-2}\lim_{z\to\infty} z(m_j^{(sol)})_{21}(z;x,t) \\ &= \begin{cases} e^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_+}(1+\beta_j^{\nabla}(x,t)) & x < 2t\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j) \\ z_j^2 e^{\mathrm{i}\vartheta_+}(1+\beta_j^{\Delta}(x,t)) & x > 2t\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

where $\vartheta_+$ is a real constant, and $\beta_j^{\nabla}$ and $\beta_j^{\Delta}$ are given by (6.37b) and (6.37c) respectively and the extra factor of $z_j^2$ for $x > 2t\,\mathrm{Re}(z_j)$ accounts for the additional factor in $T(\infty,\xi)$ for $j \in \Delta$. However, since

$\overline{z_j} = z_j^{-1}$, it's a simple algebraic exercise to show that the two formulae are identical, so that either formula gives

$$q_j^{(sol)}(x,t) = e^{i\vartheta_+}\frac{1+z_j^2 e^{-2\varphi_j}}{1+e^{-2\varphi_j}} = e^{i\vartheta_+}\,\mathrm{sol}(x-x_j,t;z_j)$$

where $\mathrm{sol}(x,t;z)$ defined by (1.3) is the formula for the dark 1-soliton.

We now complete the original goal of this section by using $m^{(sol)}$ to reduce $m^{(2)}$ to a pure $\overline{\partial}$-problem which will be analyzed in the following section.

**Lemma 6.9.** *Define the function*

$$m^{(3)}(z) = m^{(2)}(z)\left(m^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1}. \tag{6.39}$$

*Then, $m^{(3)}$ satisfies the following $\overline{\partial}$-problem.*

**$\overline{\partial}$ Problem 6.1** *Find a $2\times 2$ matrix-valued function $m^{(3)}(z)$ such that*

1. *$m^{(3)}(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C}$, and analytic in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Omega}$.*
2. *$m^{(3)}(z) = I + \mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$ as $z\to\infty$.*
3. *For $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\overline{\partial} m^{(3)}(z) = m^{(3)}(z)W^{(3)}(z) \tag{6.40}$$

*where $W^{(3)} := m^{(sol)}(z)W(z)\left(m^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1}$—with $W(z)$ defined after* (6.29)*—is supported in $\Omega$.*

*Proof.* It follows directly from (6.39) that $m^{(3)}$ has no jumps on the disk boundaries $|z-z_j| = \rho$ nor $|z-\overline{z}_j| = \rho$ since $m^{(sol)}$ has exactly the same jumps as $m^{(2)}$ on these contours. The normalization condition and $\overline{\partial}$ derivative of $m^{(3)}$ follow immediately from the properties of $m^{(2)}$ and $m^{(sol)}$. It remains to show that the ratio also has no isolated singularities. At the origin we have $\left(m^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1} = (1-z^{-2})^{-1}\sigma_2\left(m^{(sol)}(z)\right)^T\sigma_2$, formula already used in Lemma 5.3, so that

$$\lim_{z\to 0} m^{(3)}(z) = \lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\left(zm^{(2)}(z)\right)\sigma_2\left(zm^{(sol)}(z)^T\right)\sigma_2}{z^2-1} = -(\sigma_1\sigma_2)^2 = I \tag{6.41}$$

so $m^{(3)}(z)$ is regular at the origin. If $m^{(2)}$ has poles at $z_{j_0}$ and $\overline{z}_{j_0}$ on the unit circle then from the form of the residue relation we have local expansions in a neighborhood of $z_{j_0}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} m^{(2)}(z) &= \begin{bmatrix} m_{12}^{(2)}(z_{j_0}) \\ \\ m_{22}^{(2)}(z_{j_0}) \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{c_{j_0}}{z-z_{j_0}} & 1\end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} *_{11} & 0 \\ *_{21} & 0\end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(z-z_{j_0}\right) \\ m^{(sol)}(z)^{-1} &= \frac{z_{j_0}^2}{z_{j_0}^2-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{-c_{j_0}}{z-z_{j_0}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m_{22}^{(sol)}(z_{j_0}) & -m_{12}^{(sol)}(z_{j_0})\end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \$_{21} & \$_{22}\end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}\left(z-z_{j_0}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.42}$$

where $*_{jk}$ and $\$_{jk}$ are constants. Taking the product gives

$$m^{(3)}(z) = \frac{z_{j_0}^2}{z_{j_0}^2-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} *_{11} \\ \\ *_{21}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m_{22}^{(sol)}(z_{j_0}) & -m_{12}^{(sol)}(z_{j_0})\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m_{12}^{(2)}(z_{j_0}) \\ \\ m_{22}^{(2)}(z_{j_0})\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\$_{21} & \$_{22}\end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$$

which shows that $m^{(3)}(z)$ is bounded locally and the pole is removable. A similar argument shows that the pole at $\bar{z}_{j_0}$ is removable. Finally, because $\det m^{(sol)}(z) = (1-z^{-2})$ we must check that the ratio is bounded at $z = \pm 1$. This follows from observing that the symmetries $m(z) = \sigma_1 \overline{m(\bar{z})}\sigma_1 = z^{-1}m(z^{-1})\sigma_1$, given in Lemma 5.1, applied to the local expansion of $m^{(2)}$ and $m^{(sol)}$ imply that

$$m^{(2)}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} c & \pm c \\ \pm\overline{c} & \overline{c} \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(z \mp 1) \qquad m^{(sol)}(z)^{-1} = \frac{\pm 1}{2(z \mp 1)} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\gamma} & \mp\gamma \\ \mp\overline{\gamma} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

for some constants $c$ and $\gamma$. Taking the product it's immediately clear the singular part of $m^{(3)}(z)$ vanishes at $z = \pm 1$. □

In Sect. 6.4 we will prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.10.** *There exist constants $t_1$ and $c$ such that the $z$–independent coefficient $m_1^{(3)}(x,t)$ in the asymptotic expansion*

$$m^{(3)}(z) = I + \frac{m_1^{(3)}(x,t)}{z} + o(z^{-1})$$

*satisfies*

$$|m_1^{(3)}(x,t)| \leq ct^{-1} \text{ for } |x/t| < 2 \text{ and } t \geq t_1.$$

## 6.4 Step 4: Solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ problem 6.1 and asymptotics as $t \to \infty$

**Lemma 6.11.** *Consider the following operator $J$:*

$$JH(z) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{H(\varsigma)W^{(3)}(\varsigma)}{\varsigma - z} dA(\varsigma). \tag{6.43}$$

*Then we have $J : L^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \cap C^0(\mathbb{C})$ and for any fixed $\xi_0 \in (0,1)$ there exists a $C = C(q_0, \xi_0)$ s.t.*

$$\|J\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ for all } t \gg 1 \text{ and for } \left|\frac{x}{2t}\right| \leq \xi_0. \tag{6.44}$$

*Proof.* To prove (6.44) we follow the argument in Prop. 2.2 [21]. It is not restrictive to consider only the proof of $\|JH\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})}$ for $H \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$. Recall the definition of $W^{(3)}(z) := m^{(sol)}(z)W(z)\left(m^{(sol)}(z)\right)^{-1}$. From Lemma 5.3 we have $\det m^{(sol)}(z) = 1 - z^{-2}$, and Lemma 6.7 implies that for $z \in \overline{\Omega_1}$ there exists a fixed constant $C_1$ s.t. the matrix norm $|m^{(sol)}(z)| \leq C_1|z|^{-1}\sqrt{1+|z|^2} = C|z|^{-1}\langle z\rangle$. Then

$$|W^{(3)}(z)| \leq |m^{(sol)}(z)|^2|1 - z^{-2}|^{-1}|W(z)| \leq C_1\langle z\rangle^2|z^2 - 1|^{-1}|W(z)|. \tag{6.45}$$

Since $\langle\varsigma\rangle|\varsigma + 1|^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ in $\Omega_1$, for a fixed constant $c_1$ we have

$$|JH(z)| \leq c_1\|H\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\langle\varsigma\rangle \left|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\right|}{|\varsigma - z|\,|\varsigma - 1|} dA(\varsigma). \tag{6.46}$$

By Lemma 6.4 the hypothesis that there is a constant $\xi_0 \in (0,1)$ s.t. $|\xi| \leq \xi_0$ is crucial in order to have $|\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)| \geq ct|uv|$ for a fixed $c = c(\xi_0) > 0$. Notice also that (6.46) contains an extra singularity with

respect to Proposition 2.2 in [21]. It is to offset this that our extensions of $R(z)$ in Lemma 6.5, in particular formula (6.24), are somewhat more elaborate than in [21]. To simplify notation we will normalize the problem and suppose $\theta_0 = \pi/4$ so that $\Omega_1$ is the sector defined by $\arg(z) \in [0, \pi/4]$. Into the integral in the r.h.s. of (6.46), we insert the partition of unity: $\chi_{[0,1)}(|\varsigma|) + \chi_{[1,2)}(|\varsigma|) + \chi_{[2,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)$. We prove first the following, where the 1st inequality is obvious since $\langle\varsigma\rangle|\varsigma - 1|^{-1} \le \kappa$ for $|\varsigma| \ge 2$, for a fixed $\kappa$:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\right| \chi_{[2,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|\,|\varsigma - 1|}\langle\varsigma\rangle dA(\varsigma) \le \kappa \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\right| \chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|} dA(\varsigma) \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.47}$$

Set $\varsigma = u + \mathrm{i}v$, $z = z_R + \mathrm{i}z_I$, $1/q + 1/p = 1$ with $p > 2$. To prove the 2nd inequality in (6.47) we replace $|\overline{\partial}R|$ by the 3 terms in the r.h.s. of (6.18). For $\varsigma \in \Omega_1$ with $|\varsigma| \ge 1$ we use Lemma 6.4 to write $\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma) > ctuv > c'tv$.

When replacing $|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)|$, the terms in in (6.18) involving $f(|\varsigma|) = r'(|\varsigma|)$ or $f(|\varsigma|) = \varphi(|\varsigma|)$ give

$$\int_0^\infty dv e^{-c'tv} \int_v^\infty \frac{\chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)|f(|\varsigma|)|}{|\varsigma - z|} du \le c''\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \int_0^\infty dv e^{-c'tv}|v - z_I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{6.48}$$

Here we have used

$$\int_v^\infty |f(\sqrt{u^2 + v^2})|^2 du = \int_{\sqrt{2}v}^\infty |f(\tau)|^2 \frac{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}}{u} d\tau \le \sqrt{2}\int_{\sqrt{2}v}^\infty |f(\tau)|^2 d\tau. \tag{6.49}$$

The term $|\varsigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (6.18) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty dv\, e^{-c'tv} \int_v^\infty \frac{\chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\varsigma - z|} du \le \int_0^\infty dv\, e^{-c'tv} \| \,|\varsigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^p(v,\infty)} \| \,|\varsigma - z|^{-1}\|_{L^q(v,\infty)} \\ &\le c'' \int_0^\infty dv\, e^{-c'tv} v^{1/p - 1/2} \,|v - z_I|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \le 4c''t^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty ds\, e^{-c's} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

In the penultimate step above we've made the elementary observation that for any $a, b, c > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-cv} v^{-a}|v - v_0|^{-b} dv &\le \int_{v > |v - v_0|} e^{-c|v - v_0|}|v - v_0|^{-(a+b)} dv + \int_{0 < v < |v - v_0|} e^{-cv} v^{-(a+b)} dv \\ &\le 2\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-c|s|}|s|^{-(a+b)} ds = 4\int_0^\infty e^{-cs} s^{-(a+b)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved (6.47). The next inequality is

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\langle\varsigma\rangle\,|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}|\chi_{[1,2)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|\,|\varsigma - 1|} dA(\varsigma) \le \sqrt{5}c_1 \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\chi_{[1,2)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|} dA(\varsigma) \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.51}$$

The first inequality is obtained from (6.19), that is $\left|\overline{\partial}R_j(\varsigma)\right| \le c_1|\varsigma - 1|$, and noting that $\langle\varsigma\rangle \le \sqrt{5}$ for $|\varsigma| \le 2$. The second inequality is (6.48) applied to $f(|z|) = \chi_{[1,2)}(|z|)$. From (6.47) and (6.51) we conclude that for some $C(q_0, \xi_0)$

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\overline{\partial}R(\varsigma)e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\right| \chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|\,|\varsigma - 1|} dA(\varsigma) \le C(q_0, \xi_0)t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.52}$$

Finally, consider the last inequality, namely

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\overline{\partial} R(\varsigma) e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\varsigma)}\right| \chi_{[0,1)}(|\varsigma|)}{|\varsigma - z|\,|\varsigma - 1|} dA(\varsigma) \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.53}$$

Introducing the change of variables $w = \overline{1/z}$ and $\tau = \overline{1/\varsigma}$, noting that $dA(\varsigma) = |\tau|^{-4} dA(\tau)$, $\Phi(\overline{\tau^{-1}}; x, t) = \overline{\Phi(\tau; x, t)}$ (c.f. (5.5)), and using the symmetry $R(\overline{\tau^{-1}}) = \overline{R(\tau)}$ (c.f. Lemma 6.5), equation (6.53) becomes

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\partial_\tau \overline{R(\tau)} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\tau)}\right| \chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\tau|)}{|\tau^{-1} - w^{-1}|\,|\tau^{-1} - 1||\tau|^4} \left|\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial \overline{\varsigma}}\right| dA(\tau) = |w| \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{\left|\overline{\partial} R(\tau) e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\tau)}\right| \chi_{[1,\infty)}(|\tau|)}{|\tau - w|\,|\tau - 1|} dA(\tau). \tag{6.54}$$

Now consider separately large and small values of $|w|$: if $|w| \leq 3$ we are back to (6.52); if $|w| \geq 3$ we can bound the r.h.s. of (6.54) by

$$3 \int\limits_{|\tau| \geq \frac{|w|}{2}} \frac{|\overline{\partial} R(\tau) e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\tau)}|}{|\tau - w|} \chi_{\Omega_1}(\tau) dA(\tau) + 2 \int\limits_{1 \leq |\tau| \leq \frac{|w|}{2}} \frac{|\overline{\partial} R(\tau) e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(\tau)}|}{|\tau - 1|} \chi_{\Omega_1}(\tau) dA(\tau).$$

Both terms are bounded by $Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for a fixed $C = C(q_0, \xi_0)$ since they can be treated like the middle term in (6.47). So we have proved (6.44). □

Lemma 6.11 implies $m^{(3)} = I + Jm^{(3)}$. Indeed, since $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{z} * \overline{\partial}\phi = \phi$ for any test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, see [39, Proposition 4.8 p.210 ], we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{C}} m^{(3)}(w) W^{(3)}(w) \phi(w) dA(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} m^{(3)}(w) W^{(3)}(w) \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\overline{\partial}\phi(z)}{z - w} dA(z)\right] dA(w) \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{C}} J m^{(3)}(z) \overline{\partial}\phi(z) dA(z)\end{aligned}$$

where we exploit the fact, proved in the course of Lemma 6.11, that $\frac{m^{(3)}(w) W^{(3)}(w) \overline{\partial}\phi(z)}{w - z} \in L^1(\mathbb{C}^2)$, so that we can exchange order of integration. Since Lemma 6.11 implies that $Jm^{(3)}(z)$ is a continuous function in $z$ uniformly bounded in $\mathbb{C}$, we conclude that $\overline{\partial}(m^{(3)} - Jm^{(3)}) = 0$ in the distributional sense. By elliptic regularity $m^{(3)} - Jm^{(3)}$ is smooth, see [39, Theorem 11.1 p.379], and so it is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}$. Finally, by point 2. in RHP 6.1 we get $m^{(3)} = I + Jm^{(3)}$.

*Lemma 6.10.* The above discussion allows us to write

$$m_1^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} m^{(3)}(z) W^{(3)}(z) dA(z). \tag{6.55}$$

Since $m^{(3)} = I + Jm^{(3)}$, Lemma 6.11 implies that for $t$ large we have $\|m^{(3)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} \leq c$ for a fixed constant $c$ and for all $|\xi| \leq \xi_0$. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 6.11. Again, we restrict to $z \in \Omega_1$ for simplicity, the proof in the rest of plane being similar. Using (6.45), like in (6.46), we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega_1} m^{(3)}(z) W^{(3)}(z) dA(z)\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega_1} \langle z \rangle \left|\overline{\partial} R(z)\right| e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(z)} |z - 1|^{-1} \chi_{[1,\infty)}(|z|) dA(z).$$

Inserting the partition of unity $\chi_{[0,1)}(|z|)+\chi_{[1,2)}(|z|)+\chi_{[2,\infty)}(|z|)$ into the above integral we consider each term separately. For the term with $\chi_{[2,\infty)}(|z|)$ the factor $\langle z\rangle|z-1|^{-1}=\mathcal{O}(1)$, and fixing a $p>2$ (so that $q\in(1,2)$ ) we get the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(z)}\left|\overline{\partial}R(z)\right|\chi_{[2,\infty)}(|z|)dA(z)\le C\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(z)}\left(|z|^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\sum_{f\in\{r',\varphi\}}|f(|z|)|\right)\chi_{[1,\infty)}(|z|)dA(z)\\
&\le C_1\left[\int_0^\infty dv\,\|e^{-ctuv}\|_{L^2(\max\{v,1/\sqrt{2}\},\infty)}+\int_0^\infty dv\,\|e^{-ctuv}\|_{L^p(\max\{v,1/\sqrt{2}\},\infty)}\||z|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^q(v,\infty)}\right]\\
&\le C_2\int_0^\infty dv\,e^{-c'tv}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}v^{-\frac{1}{2}}+t^{-\frac{1}{p}}v^{-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}})\le C_3(t^{-1}+t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}})\le C_3t^{-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.56}$$

For $z\in[0,2]$, $\langle z\rangle\le\sqrt{5}$, so it will be omitted from the remaining estimates. For the term with $\chi_{[1,2]}(|z|)$, using (6.19) for the first inequality and applying the inequalities in (6.56) to $f=\chi_{[1,2]}$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi}|\overline{\partial}R(z)|\,|z-1|^{-1}\chi_{[1,2]}(|z|)dA(z)\le c_1\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi}\chi_{[1,2]}(|z|)dA(z)\le Ct^{-1}.\tag{6.57}$$

For the term $\chi_{[0,1]}$, the change of variables $w=\overline{z^{-1}}$ gives, as in (6.54)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(z)}|\overline{\partial}R(z)||z-1|^{-1}\chi_{[0,1])}(|z|)dA(z)\\
&\qquad=\int_{\Omega_1} e^{-\operatorname{Re}\Phi(w)}|\overline{\partial}R(w)||w-1|^{-1}\chi_{[1,\infty)}(|w|)|w|^{-1}dA(\zeta),
\end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by the previous estimates (6.56)-(6.57). Summing the last three inequalities yields the desired estimate. □

## 6.5 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.6

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* For $z$ large and in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{\Omega}$ we have $m^{(1)}(z)=m^{(2)}(z)$. So by (6.10) and (6.39)

$$\begin{aligned}
m(z)=T(\infty,\xi)^{\sigma_3}m^{(2)}(z)T(z,\xi)^{-\sigma_3}&=T(\infty,\xi)^{\sigma_3}m^{(3)}(z)m^{(sol)}(z)T(\infty,\xi)^{-\sigma_3}\\
&\times\left(I-z^{-1}\left(\sum_{k\in\Delta}2\mathrm{i}\operatorname{Im}(z_k)-\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty\log(1-|r(s)|^2)ds\right)^{-\sigma_3}+o\left(z^{-1}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the first two terms of the factor in the last line are diagonal, by $m^{(3)}(z)=I+z^{-1}\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right)+o\left(z^{-1}\right)$, by (6.36) and by (6.37a)–(6.37c) we obtain for $|x-2\operatorname{Re}(z_{j_0})t|\le\rho t$ and $j_0\in\nabla$

$$q(x,t)=\lim_{z\to\infty}zm_{21}(z)=-T(\infty,\xi)^{-2}\mathrm{i}z_{j_0}\left(\mathrm{i}\operatorname{Re}(z_{j_0})+\operatorname{Im}(z_{j_0})\tanh\varphi_{j_0}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right).\tag{6.58}$$

For $|x - 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_{j_0})t| \le \rho t$ and $j_0 \in \Delta$ we have instead

$$q(x,t) = \lim_{z\to\infty} z m_{21}(z) = -T(\infty,\xi)^{-2}\mathrm{i}\overline{z}_{j_0}\left(\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Re}(z_{j_0}) + \mathrm{Im}(z_{j_0})\tanh\varphi_{j_0}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right). \tag{6.59}$$

In (6.58), the main term can be written as

$$\delta_+^{-1}\prod_{k<j_0} z_k^2\ \mathrm{sol}(x - x_{j_0}, t; z_{j_0}) \text{ where } \delta_+ := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_0^\infty \frac{\log(1-|r(s)|^2)}{s}ds\right) \tag{6.60}$$

using the formula for $T(\infty,\xi)$, the obvious fact that $\Delta = \Delta\backslash\{j_0\}$ for $j_0 \in \nabla$ and by (1.4), which implies $\Delta\backslash\{j_0\} = \{k : k < j_0\}$. Equation (6.60) also represents the main term in (6.59). By $\lim_{x\to\infty}\mathrm{sol}(x_j,t;z_j) = 1$ and $\lim_{x\to-\infty}\mathrm{sol}(x_j,t;z_j) = z_j^2$ it is elementary to see that (6.60) differs from the r.h.s. of (1.8) by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right)$. We obtain similarly (1.8) also when $j_0 = -1$, that is when $|x - 2\,\mathrm{Re}(z_{j_0})t| > \rho t$, where we have

$$q(x,t) = \lim_{z\to\infty} z m_{21}(z) = -T(\infty,\xi)^{-2} + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right) = \delta_+^{-1}\prod_{k\le\sup\Delta} z_k^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right). \tag{6.61}$$

Clearly, (6.61) differs from the r.h.s. of (1.8) by $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right)$. Finally notice that for $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$, the $N$–soliton potential related to the solution $m^{(sol)}(z)$ in Lemma 6.6, our analysis proves (1.6) since formulas (6.58), (6.59) and (6.61) hold also for $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Given $q_0$ close to the $M$–soliton $q^{(sol),M}(x,0)$ we obtain the information on the poles and coupling constants in (1.11) by the Lipschitz continuity of maps such (3.13) in Lemma 3.2 and (3.17) in Lemma 3.3. Furthermore, we can apply Lemma 4.4 to $q_0$. Hence we can apply Theorem 1.1 to $q_0$ obtaining (1.8). By elementary computations (1.8) yields (1.12). □

# A $N$-solitons

Consider $N$ points $z_j = e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_j}$, labeled such that $0 < \theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_{N-1} < \pi$ and set

$$a(z) = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{z - z_k}{z - \overline{z}_k}. \tag{A.1}$$

Notice that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} z_k^2 = a(0). \tag{A.2}$$

Consider also corresponding coupling constants $c_j$ with $c_j = \mathrm{i}z_j|c_j|$ and let $c_j(x,t) = c_j e^{\Phi(z_k;x,t)}$ like in (5.7). Then consider the unique (by the proof of Lemma 5.3) solution of the corresponding RHP 5.1 (with $r(z) \equiv 0$) satisfying the symmetries of Lemma 5.1. It is a meromorphic function approaching identity as $z \to \infty$ with $2N+1$ simple poles $m(z;x,t)$ with a partial fraction expansion of the form

$$m(z;x,t) = I + \frac{\sigma_1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{z - z_k}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_k(x,t) & 0\\ \beta_k(x,t) & 0\end{pmatrix} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{z - \overline{z}_k}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \widehat{\beta}_k(x,t)\\ 0 & \widehat{\alpha}_k(x,t)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.3}$$

Assuming for a moment that $m(z;x,t)$ exists we will consider the $N$-*soliton*

$$q^{(sol),N}(x,t) := \lim_{z\to\infty} z\, m_{21}(z;x,t) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \beta_k(x,t). \tag{A.4}$$

Before discussing the boundary values of $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$ and proving Lemma B.2 we study the existence of $m(z;x,t)$. By (5.2a) we have

$$\widehat{\alpha}_k(x,t) = \overline{\alpha}_k(x,t), \qquad \widehat{\beta}_k(x,t) = \overline{\beta}_k(x,t) \tag{A.5}$$

and by (5.2b) the additional symmetry

$$\alpha_k(x,t) = -z_k\overline{\beta}_k(x,t). \tag{A.6}$$

Inserting (A.3) into (5.6) and using (A.5)-(A.6) we arrive at the reduced linear system:

$$(I - \mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z})\cdot\boldsymbol{\beta}_{tx} = \mathbf{C}_{tx}\cdot\mathbf{1} \tag{A.7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{tx}, \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbf{C}_{tx}, \mathbf{Z} \in M(\mathbb{C}, N)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{tx} &= \{\beta_0(x,t),\dots,\beta_{N-1}(x,t)\}^\intercal, \qquad \mathbf{1} = \{1,\dots,1\}^\intercal \\ \mathbf{C}_{tx} &= \mathrm{diag}(c_0(x,t)\dots,c_{N-1}(x,t)) \qquad \{\mathbf{Z}_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^{N-1} = \frac{\overline{z}_j}{\overline{z}_j - z_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

For general $\mathbf{C}_{tx}$ the matrix $I - \mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z}$ need not be invertible. However, under the reality condition $c_j(x,t) = \mathrm{i}z_j|c_j(x,t)|$, the system can be expressed in the more symmetric form

$$(I + \mathbf{Y}_{tx})\cdot\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{tx} = \boldsymbol{b}_{tx} \tag{A.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{tx} &:= \{|c_0(x,t)|^{-1/2}\beta_1,\dots,|c_{N-1}(x,t)|^{-1/2}\beta_{N-1}\}^\intercal \\ \boldsymbol{b}_{tx} &:= \{\mathrm{i}|c_0(x,t)|^{1/2}z_1, \mathrm{i}|c_2(x,t)|^{1/2}z_2,\dots,\mathrm{i}|c_{N-1}(x,t)|^{1/2}z_{N-1}\}^\intercal. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $y_j = -\mathrm{i}z_j$ ( $\mathrm{Im}\, z_j > 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Re}\, y_j > 0$) we have

$$(\mathbf{Y}_{tx})_{jk} = \frac{|c_j(x,t)|^{1/2}|c_k(x,t)|^{1/2}}{\overline{y}_j + y_k} = |c_j(x,t)|^{1/2}|c_k(x,t)|^{1/2}\int_0^\infty e^{-(\overline{y}_j+y_k)s}ds.$$

Invertibility of the system then follows from the observation that $\mathbf{Y}_{tx}$ is positive definite:

$$\begin{aligned} w^\dagger\mathbf{Y}_{tx}w &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{j,k=0}^{N-1} |c_j(x,t)c_k(x,t)|^{1/2}e^{-(\overline{y}_j+y_k)s}\overline{w}_j w_k\right) ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left|\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |c_k(x,t)|^{1/2}e^{-y_k s}w_k\right|^2 ds \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (A.4) and Cramer's rule, the solution of the NLS corresponding to the given discrete scattering data is given by

$$q^{(sol),N}(x,t) = 1 - \frac{\det(I - (\mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z})_1)}{\det(I - \mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z})} \tag{A.10}$$

where $(\mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z})_1$ is the $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ matrix

$$(\mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z})_1 := \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & c_0(x,t) \\ & \mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z} & & \vdots \\ & & & c_{N-1}(x,t) \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right). \tag{A.11}$$

# B Global existence of solution of the NLS equation

Here we establish the global existence of solutions for (1.1) with initial data $q_0 \in \tanh(x) + \Sigma_4$ and show that the $N$-soliton solutions $q^{(sol),N}(x,t)$ constructed in Appendix A lie in this class of data.

**Theorem B.1.** *Consider the initial value problem* (1.1) *with* $q_0 - \tanh(x) \in \Sigma_4$. *Then* (1.1) *admits a unique global solution* $q$ *such that* $q(x,t) - \tanh(x) \in C^0([0,\infty), H^4(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}))$. *Furthermore we have* $q(x,t) - \tanh(x) \in C^0([0,\infty), \Sigma_4) \cap C^1([0,\infty), \Sigma_2)$.

*Proof.* By Gallo [25] there is a unique global solution $q(x,t)$ of (1.1) s.t. the function $v(x,t) := q(x,t) - \tanh(x)$ is in $C^0([0,\infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}))$. Furthermore since $v(x,0) \in X^4(\mathbb{R}) \subset X^1(\mathbb{R})$, by [26, 25] we also have $v(x,t) \in C^0([0,\infty), X^1(\mathbb{R}))$, where $X^k(\mathbb{R}) := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap (\cap_{l=1}^k \dot{H}^l(\mathbb{R}))$. In [4] it is proven that $v(x,t) \in C^0([0,\infty), X^4(\mathbb{R}))$. All these facts together imply $v(x,t) \in C^0([0,\infty), H^4(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,\infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}))$.

The fact that $v(x,t) \in C^0([0,\infty), \Sigma_4)$ can now be proved by standard arguments; multiplying the equation for $v$ by $x^4 e^{-\varepsilon x^2}$ and, taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, one shows that $x^4 v(x,t) \in L^\infty([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $T$. Indeed, $v(x,t)$ solves (for $v_R = \operatorname{Re} v$)

$$\mathrm{i}\dot{v} + v_{xx} - 2(|v|^2 + 2v_R \tanh(x))(v + \tanh(x)) - \operatorname{sech}^2(x) v = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

Multiplying the equation by $x^{2j} e^{-2\varepsilon x^2} \overline{v}$ for $1 \le j \le 4$, taking the imaginary part and integrating in $x$ on $\mathbb{R}$ we obtain, for $[\partial_x^2, x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2}]v = (x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2})'' v + 2(x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2})' v_x$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v\|_{L^2} \le C(\|[\partial_x^2, x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2}]v\|_{L^2} + \|\langle x\rangle^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v\|_{L^2}). \tag{B.2}$$

We have $\|(x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2})'' v\|_{L^2} \le \|v\|_{\Sigma_{j-1}}$ , where we assume the r.h.s. is bounded by induction.

So, for fixed constants we have

$$\|(x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2})' v_x\|_{L^2} \le c' \|x^{j-1} e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v_x\|_{L^2} \le c(\|x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^2 v\|_{L^2}). \tag{B.3}$$

The 2nd inequality follows by the identity for $f$ real, see [36] p.1069,

$$\int x^{2j-2} e^{-2\varepsilon x^2} (f_x)^2 dx = 2^{-1} \int f (x^{2j-2} e^{-2\varepsilon x^2})'' f^2 dx + \int x^{2j-2} e^{-2\varepsilon x^2} f f_{xx} dx.$$

Then, by Gronwall's inequality, (B.2)–(B.3) imply that $\|x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C_T$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and all $j = 1, ..., 4$. By Fatou's lemma we conclude $v(x,t) \in L^\infty([0,T], \Sigma_4)$ for all $T \ge 0$. But then by dominated convergence $x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v \to x^j v$ in $L^\infty([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and since $x^j e^{-\varepsilon x^2} v \in C^0([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, we have also $x^j v \in C^0([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ for all $j \le 4$. So we conclude that $v(x,t) \in C^0([0,T], \Sigma_4)$. From (B.1) we have also $v(x,t) \in C^1([0,T], \Sigma_2)$.

□

The global existence for (1.1)–(1.2) for the initial data in Theorem 1.6 is guaranteed by Theorem B.1 and the following lemma.

**Lemma B.2.** *$q^{(sol),N}(x,t) - \tanh(x) \in \Sigma_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $N$–soliton satisfying the boundary conditions* (1.2).

*Proof.* Formulas (A.10)–(A.11) imply immediately that $q^{(sol),N} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$. Since for $|x| \to \infty$ we have $\Phi(z_j; x, t) = -2x \operatorname{Im}[z_j](1 + o(1))$ it is elementary that $q^{(sol),N}(x,t) - 1$ with all its derivatives approaches 0 exponentially fast as $x \to \infty$. We assume now

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} q^{(sol),N}(x,t) = a(0) \text{ for any fixed } t \geq 0 \tag{B.4}$$

(where in the set up of Lemma B.2 we have $a(0) = -1$). Then for any fixed $t$ it is elementary to conclude from (A.10)–(A.11) that for a fixed $c > 0$ and for $x \ll -1$

$$\det(I - \mathbf{C}_{tx}\mathbf{Z}) = (-1)^N \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} c_j(x,t) \det(\mathbf{Z})(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{cx}\right)),$$

$$\det(I - (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Z})_1) = (-1)^{N+1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} c_j(x,t) \det \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} & \mathbf{Z} & & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{array} \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) (1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{cx}\right)).$$

This implies that $q^{(sol),N}(x,t) - a(0)$ and all of its derivatives approaches 0 exponentially fast as $x \to -\infty$. To see (B.4) we associate to our $m(z; x, t)$ the function $m^{(1)}(z; x, t)$ in (6.13). Notice that $m^{(1)}(z)$ solves a Riemann–Hilbert problem in $\Sigma^{(2)}$ since the jump matrix in $\mathbb{R}$ is the identity. In other words, here $m^{(1)}(z) = m^{(sol)}(z)$. Now, since as $x \to -\infty$ we have $\xi \to -\infty$, in this case $\Delta = \{0, ..., N-1\}$ and $j_0(\xi) = -1$, see (6.6)–(6.7). It is also easy to see, following the proof of Lemma 6.7, that for fixed $t$ for a fixed $c > 0$ and all $x \ll -1$ we have

$$m^{(sol)}(z) = I + z^{-1}\sigma_1 + z^{-1}\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c|x|}\right) + o(z^{-1}).$$

Finally, proceeding as in Sect. 6.5 as in (6.58) and using (A.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{(sol),N}(x,t) &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z m_{21}(z) = T(\infty, \xi)^{-2} \lim_{z \to \infty} z m_{21}^{(sol)}(z) \\ &= a(0)(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c|x|}\right)) \to a(0) \text{ as } x \to -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

# C Singularity of $a(z)$ in $z = \pm 1$ for generic $q_0$

We check here that for initial data $q_{0\epsilon} = \tanh(x) + \varepsilon f$ with $f = f_R + \mathrm{i} f_I$, $f_A \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ for $A = R, I$ generic, then the function $a(z)$ blows up at $z = \pm 1$. Let $\psi_{j\varepsilon}^{\pm}(z; x)$ denote the Jost functions corresponding to initial data $q_{0\epsilon}$ (c.f. (3.9)). In particular, by $\psi_{j0}^{\pm}(z; x)$ we denote the Jost functions associated to the black soliton $q_{00}(x) = \tanh(x)$.

These functions extend to $(z,x) \in (\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,-\mathrm{i}\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ and they are smooth. Recalling (4.8) we denote

$$a(\varepsilon,z) = \frac{W(\varepsilon,z)}{1-z^{-2}} \text{ where } W(\varepsilon,z) := \det[\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;x), \psi_{2\varepsilon}^+(z;x)]. \tag{C.1}$$

Recall $a(0,z) = \frac{z-\mathrm{i}}{z+\mathrm{i}}$. This yields $W(0,z) = \frac{(z-\mathrm{i})(z^2-1)}{(z+\mathrm{i})z}$. We have the following fact.

**Lemma C.1.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} W(\varepsilon,z) &= \mp 2\mathrm{i}(z\mp 1) - 2\varepsilon C(\pm,f) + F_\pm(z,\varepsilon) \\ C(\pm,f) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(e^{-4y}-1)f_R(y) \mp 2e^{-2y} f_I(y)}{(1+e^{-2y})^2} dy \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

*where, for $|z\mp 1| < c_f$ and $|\varepsilon| < c_f$ for a sufficiently small constant $c_f > 0$, the function $F_\pm(z,\varepsilon)$ is analytic in $z$ and for a fixed constant $C_f$*

$$|F_\pm(z,\varepsilon)| \le C_f(|z\mp 1|^2 + \varepsilon^2). \tag{C.3}$$

For generic $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})$ we have $C(\pm,f) \neq 0$. Then replacing $F_\pm(z,\varepsilon)$ with 0 we obtain a function with a zero in

$$\widetilde{z}_\pm(\varepsilon) = \pm(1+\mathrm{i}\varepsilon C(\pm,f))$$

and by Rouché Theorem we have that $W(\varepsilon,z)$ has for $\varepsilon$ small a zero

$$z_\pm(\varepsilon) = \pm(1+\mathrm{i}\varepsilon C(\pm,f)) + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^2\right).$$

If $\pm\varepsilon C(\pm,f) > 0$ this yields a new zero in $\mathbb{C}_+$ of $a(\varepsilon,z)$ near $\pm 1$ and a corresponding almost white soliton. If $\pm\varepsilon C(\pm,f) < 0$ this is a new zero of the analytic continuation of $a(\varepsilon,z)$ below $\mathbb{R}$, does not yield a new soliton but nonetheless makes $a(\varepsilon,z)$ singular at $\pm 1$. All four cases can occur.

*Proof of Lemma C.1.* Recall the definitions of $\psi_{j\epsilon}^\pm$ and $\psi_{j0}^\pm$ for $j=1,2$, from the first paragraph of this appendix. For $(\psi_{10}^\pm(z;x))_j$ the $j$–th component of $\psi_{10}^\pm(z;x)$ for $j=1,2$, we set

$$\Delta Q(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{f}(x) \\ f(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; U(x,y,z) = [\psi_{10}^-(z;x), \psi_{20}^+(z;x)][\psi_{10}^-(z;y), \psi_{20}^+(z;y)]^{-1},$$

with $[\psi_{10}^-, \psi_{20}^+]$ the matrix with first column $\psi_{10}^-$ and second column $\psi_{20}^+$ and with the last the inverse of one such matrix. $U(x,y,z)$ is well defined for any $z \neq 0, \pm\mathrm{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. We have $(\partial_x - \mathcal{L}(z;x))U(x,y,z) = 0$ and $U(y,y,z) = 1$, i.e. $U(x,y,z)$ is the fundamental solution of equation (3.2a) with $Q$ defined using $\tanh(x)$. Let $f \in C_c^\infty((-M,M),\mathbb{C})$. Notice then that for $\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-$ and $\psi_{2\varepsilon}^+$ Jost functions associated to $q_{0\epsilon}$, we have $\psi_{2\varepsilon}^+(z;x) = \psi_{20}^+(z;x)$ for $x > M$ and $\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;x) = \psi_{10}^-(z;x)$ for $x < -M$. Then for $x > M$ we have for any preassigned $x_0 < -M$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2\varepsilon}^+(z;x) &= \psi_{20}^+(z;x) \\ \psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;x) &= U(x,x_0,z)\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;x_0) + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon \int_{x_0}^x U(x,y,z)\sigma_3 \Delta Q(y)\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;y)dy \\ &= \psi_{10}^-(z;x) + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(x,y,z)\sigma_3\Delta Q(y)\psi_{1\varepsilon}^-(z;y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Picking $x > M$ and substituting (C.4) we can write

$$W(\varepsilon, z) = \det[\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;x), \psi^+_{2\varepsilon}(z;x)] = \det[\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;x), \psi^+_{20}(z;x)]$$
$$= W(0,z) + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} I'(y,z)dy \text{ where } I'(y,z) := \det[U(x,y,z)\sigma_3 \Delta Q(y)\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y), \psi^+_{20}(z;y)].$$

Notice that we have

$$I'(y,z) = \det\left[\, [\psi^-_{10}(z;x), \psi^+_{20}(z;x)]F(y,z), \psi^+_{20}(z;x)\right]$$
$$= \det[F_1(y,z)\psi^-_{10}(z;x) + F_2(y,z)\psi^+_{20}(z;x), \psi^+_{20}(z;x)] = F_1(y,z)W(0,z),$$

for $F(y,z)$ the 2 components column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_1(y,z) \\ F_2(y,z) \end{pmatrix} = [\psi^-_{10}(z;y), \psi^+_{20}(z;y)]^{-1}\sigma_3 \Delta Q(y)\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y)$$
$$= \frac{1}{W(0,z)} \begin{pmatrix} (\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_2 & -(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_1 \\ -(\psi^-_{10}(z;y))_2 & (\psi^-_{10}(z;y))_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f}(y)(\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y))_2 \\ -f(y)(\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y))_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_j$ the $j$–th component of $\psi^+_{20}(z;y)$ and similar notation for the other Jost functions. So

$$I'(y,z) = \overline{f}(y)(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_2(\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y))_2 + f(y)(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_1(\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;y))_1.$$

Furthermore by the Lipschitz continuity in $q$ in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, in particular the analogues for $z \to m^-_1(z;x)$ of the maps (3.13) and (3.17), for a fixed $C$ and when $z$ is in a preassigned compact subset of $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, -\mathrm{i}\}$ we have

$$\|\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;\cdot) - \psi^-_{10}(z;\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(-\infty,M)} = \|\psi^-_{1\varepsilon}(z;\cdot) - \psi^-_{10}(z;\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(-M,M)} < C\varepsilon.$$

This yields

$$W(\varepsilon,z) = W(0,z) + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} I(y,\pm 1)dy + \widetilde{F}_\pm(z,\varepsilon)$$
$$I(y,z) = \overline{f}(y)(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_2(\psi^-_{10}(z;y))_2 + f(y)(\psi^+_{20}(z;y))_1(\psi^-_{10}(z;y))_1$$
$$\widetilde{F}_\pm(z,\varepsilon) = \mathrm{i}\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} [I(y,z) - I(y,\pm 1)]dy + \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^2\right)$$

where $\widetilde{F}_\pm(z,\varepsilon)$ has the properties claimed in the statement for $F_\pm(z,\varepsilon)$.

We have

$$\psi^+_{20}(\pm 1;y) = \mathrm{i}\psi^-_{10}(\pm 1;y),$$
$$\psi^-_{10}(-1;y) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2y}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{i} + e^{-2y} \\ -\mathrm{i} + e^{-2y} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \psi^-_{10}(1;y) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-2y}} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i} + e^{-2y} \\ -\mathrm{i} - e^{-2y} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C.5}$$

(C.5) can be derived in an elementary fashion by first substituting $z_{j_0} = \mathrm{i}$ and $\varphi_{j_0} = x$ in formula (6.37b) for $x > 0$ and formula (6.37c) for $x < 0$. This yields the formula for the matrix $m(z;x)$ in (5.1). To obtain the Jost functions one then multiplies by $a(z) = \frac{z-\mathrm{i}}{z+\mathrm{i}}$ the 1st (resp. 2nd) column of $m(z;x)$ if $\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Im}(z) < 0$), uses formulas (3.9) and exploits $\zeta(\pm 1) = 0$ for the function in (3.8) getting (C.5) with simple computations. After other elementary computations we get the formulas for $C(\pm, f)$ in (C.2). $\square$

## acknowledgements

S.C. was partially funded by the grant FIRB 2012 (Dinamiche Dispersive) from the Italian Government and by a grant FRA 2013 from the University of Trieste. We wish to thank Prof. Tamara Grava for useful discussions at the initial stages of this work.

## References

[1] Baik, J.; Kriecherbauer, T.; McLaughlin, K. T.-R.; Miller, P. D.; Discrete orthogonal polynomials. *Annals of Mathematical Studies*, **164** Princeton University Press, 2007.

[2] Béthuel, F.; Gravejat, P.; Smets, D.; Asymptotic stability in the energy space for dark solitons of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.*, **4** 48 (2015), no. 6, 1327–1381.

[3] Béthuel, F.; Gravejat, P.; Smets, D.; Stability in the energy space for chains of solitons of the one-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble).*, **64** (2014), no. 1, 19–70.

[4] Béthuel, F.; Gravejat, P.; Saut, J.-C.; Smets, D.; On the Korteweg-de Vries long-wave approximation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation. I, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, **14** (2009) 2700–2748.

[5] Béthuel, F.; Gravejat, P.; Saut, J.-C.; Smets, D.; Orbital stability of the black soliton to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.*, **57** (2008) 2611–2642.

[6] Beals, R.; Coifman, R.R.; Scattering and inverse scattering for first order systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **37** (1984), 39-90.

[7] Boutet de Monvel, A.; Kotlyarov, V. P.; Shepelsky, D.; Focusing NLS equation: long time dynamics of step-like initial data, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2011), no. 7, 1613–1653.

[8] Buckingham, R; Miller, P.D.; The sine-Gordon equation in the semiclassical limit: critical behavior near a separatrix, *J. Anal. Math.*, **118** (2012), no. 2, 397-492.

[9] Bilman, D.; Nenciu, I.; On the evolution of scattering data under perturbations of the Toda lattice, arXiv:1405.2310.

[10] Claeys, T.; Grava, T.; Universality of the break-up profile for the KdV equation in the small dispersion limit using the Riemann-Hilbert approach, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286** (2009), 979-1009.

[11] Cuccagna, S.; Maeda, M.; On weak interaction between a ground state and a non–trapping potential, *J. Differential Equations* **256** (2014), 1395–1466.

[12] Cuccagna, S.; Pelinovsky, P.; The asymptotic stability of solitons in the cubic NLS equation on the line, *Applicable Analysis* **93** (2014), 791–822.

[13] Demontis, F.; Prinari, C.; van der Mee, C.; Vitale, F.; The Inverse Scattering Transform for the Defocusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Nonzero Boundary Conditions, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **131** (2012), 1–40.

[14] Deift, P.; Kamvissis, S.; Kriecherbauer, T.; Zhou, X.; The Toda rarefaction problem, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **49** (1996), no. 1, 35–83.

[15] Deift, P.; Kriecherbauer, T.; McLaughlin, K. T.-R.; Venakides, S.; Zhou, X.; Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **52** (1999), no. 12, 1491–1552.

[16] Deift, P.; Park, J.; Long-time asymptotics for solutions of the NLS equation with a delta potential and even initial data. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **24** (2011), 5505–5624.

[17] Deift, P.; Zhou, X.; A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation, *Ann. of Math.*, **137** (1993), no. 2, 295–368.

[18] Deift, P.; Zhou, X.; Long-time behavior of the non-focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, a case study. New Series: Lectures in Mathematical Sciences, 5. University of Tokyo, 1994.

[19] Deift, P.; Zhou, X; Perturbation theory for infinite-dimensional integrable systems on the line. A case study, *Acta Math.* **188** (2002), 163–262.

[20] Deift, P.; Trubowitz, E. ; Inverse scattering on the line, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **32** (1979) 121-251.

[21] Dieng,M.; McLaughlin,K.D.T.-R.; Long-time asymptotics for the NLS equation via $\overline{\partial}$ methods, arXiv:0805.2807.

[22] Di Menza, L.; Gallo, C.; The black solitons of one-dimensional NLS equations, *Nonlinearity*, **20** (2007), 461-496.

[23] Egorova, I.; Gladka, Z.; Kotlyarov, V.; Teschl, G.; Long time asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with step-like initial data. *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), no 7, 1839-1864.

[24] Faddeev, L.D.; Takhtajan,L.A.; *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[25] Gallo, C.; The Cauchy problem for defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non-vanishing initial data at infinity, *Comm. Partial Diff. Eq.*, **33** (2008), 729–771.

[26] Gallo, C.; Schrödinger group on Zhidkov spaces, *Adv. Differential Eq.*, **9** (2004), 509–538.

[27] Gérard,P.; Zhang, Z.; Orbital stability of traveling waves for the one-dimensional Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **91** (2009), 178–210.

[28] Gravejat, P.; Smets, D.; Asymptotic stability of the black soliton for the Gross–Pitaevskii equation, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*., **3** 111 (2015), 305–353.

[29] Grunert, K.; Teschl, G.; Long time asymptotics for the Korteweg–de Vries Equation via Nonlinear Steepest Descent, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **12** (2009),287–324.

[30] Jenkins, R.; Regularization of a sharp shock by the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity*, **28** (2015).

[31] Jenkins, R.; McLaughlin, K.T.-R.; The semiclassical limit of focusing NLS for a family of square barrier initial data, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **67** (2014), no. 2, 246-320.

[32] Kamvissis, S.; McLaughlin, K.T.-R.; Miller, P.D.; *Semiclassical soliton ensembles for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Annals of Math. Studies 154, Princeton Un. Press, 2003.

[33] Le Coz, S.; Tsai, T.-P.; Infinite soliton and kink-soliton trains for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), 2689–2709.

[34] Martel, Y; Linear problems related to asymptotic stability of solitons of the generalized KdV equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38** (2006), 759–781.

[35] Martel, Y; Merle, F.; Asymptotic stability of solitons of the gKdV equations with general nonlinearity, *Math. Ann.* **341** (2008), 391–427.

[36] McKean, H.; Shatah, J.; The nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the nonlinear heat equation reduction to linear form, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 1067–1080.

[37] McLaughlin, K.T.-R.; Miller, P.D.; The $\overline{\partial}$ steepest descent method and the asymptotic behavior of polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle with fixed and exponentially varying nonanalytic weights, *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.* (2006), Art. ID 48673, 1–77.

[38] McLaughlin, K.T.-R.; Miller, P.D.; The $\overline{\partial}$ steepest descent method for orthogonal polynomials on the real line with varying weights, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2008, Art. ID rnn 075, 66 pp.

[39] M.E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations I*, Texts in Appl.Math. 23, Springer, New York (1996).

[40] Tao, T.; Why are solitons stable?, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **46** (2009), 1–33.

[41] Tovbis, A.; Venakides, S.; Zhou, X.; On semiclassical (zero dispersion) solutions of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **57** (2004), 877–985.

[42] Vartanian, A.H.; Long-time asymptotics of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equation with finite-density initial data. I. Solitonless sector, in: *Recent developments in integrable systems and Riemann-Hilbert problems* (Birmingham, AL, 2000), 91–185, Contemp. Math., **326**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[43] Vartanian, A.H.; Long-time asymptotics of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equation with finite-density initial data. II. Dark solitons on continua, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, **5** (2002), 319–413.

[44] Vartanian, A.H.; Exponentially small asymptotics of solutions to the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **16** (2003), 425–434.

[45] Zakharov,V.E.; Shabat,A.B.; Interaction between solitons in a stable medium, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **37** (1973) 823–828.